

Biological Analysis Report

WQAP-2016-10-20-02

Florida Department of Environmental Protection
Central Laboratory
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400
DOH Accreditation E31780

Event Description: **Lake Istokpoga**
Request ID: **RQ-2016-10-03-08**
Customer: **WQAP**
Project ID: **SPPROJECTS**

Send Reports to:
FL Dept. of Environmental Protection
2295 Victoria AVE
Suite 364
Fort Myers, FL 33901
Attn: Kirby Wolfe

For additional information please contact
Cheryl Swanson - Administrator
J. Marshall Faircloth - Aquatic Toxicology
Jacqueline Savage - Bench Biology
Jacqueline Savage - Microbiology
Daisys Matthews - Molecular Biology
Jennifer Walters - Taxonomy (Invertebrate & Algal)
Thekkekalathil Chandrasekhar, PhD, QA Officer
Phone (850) 245-8177

Certified by: Jacqueline Savage, Environmental Specialist III

Date Certified: 03-NOV-2016 16:44

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jackie Savage". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Report Comments:
Unauthorized to review QC control limits.

Case Narrative

Unless otherwise noted, all samples included in this report were received in accordance with protocols referenced in Chapter 62-160, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Results published in this report pertain only to the samples as submitted to, and received by the laboratory. All times in this report are adjusted to the applicable Eastern Time Zone (EST or EDT).

Results for the following analytical group are included in this report: AlgalBio.

Scientific notation may be used in reporting very large or small values. Values reported using scientific notation will take the form of the following example: 1.3E+03, which is equivalent to 1.3×10^3 or 1300.

Unless otherwise noted, analytical values for soil and sediment samples are reported on a dry weight basis, and analytical values for waste and tissue samples are reported on a wet weight basis.

Results for TNI accredited tests met requirements established by The NELAC Institute. A double asterisk (**) is used to indicate an analyte/matrix/method for which the laboratory is not TNI accredited or where accreditation for that field of testing is not applicable.

Any significant anomalies or deviations from established protocols are documented in Non-Conformance Reports, which, where appropriate, are included within this analytical report. Additional comments related to specific analytical tests may be included as remarks following the analytical results for each sample. Such comments and remarks are for informational purposes only and are not intended to convey judgement about the usability of the reported data.

A quality control report on the performance of the test method for the submitted samples is included. Uncertainty associated with the analytical results contained in this report can be estimated from the reported quality assurance results and from published quality control acceptance limits for each analytical test. Matrix quality control results (matrix spike recoveries and matrix sample precision) pertain only to the matrix sample tested and do not necessarily reflect test method performance for other samples.

Typical matrix quality control (QC) measurements may include matrix spike recovery, matrix spike duplicate recovery, matrix spike precision and matrix sample precision. Not all matrix QC results may be available or reportable; where they are not an explanation is provided. Typical reasons for unavailable QC results include, but are not limited to, a) insufficient matrix sample to perform some or all QC measurements; b) analyte concentration in the sample replicated was too low for a meaningful measurement of precision and c) analyte concentration in the matrix sample spiked was too high (relative to the amount of analyte spiked) for a meaningful measurement of recovery. Where matrix QC results are unavailable, other method performance metrics (e.g., LCS recovery, LCS precision, surrogate recovery) may be used to assess performance of the method. Comments explaining any missing QC measurements are not intended to convey any adverse conclusions about the quality of the reported data.

Precision is reported as relative percent difference unless otherwise noted.

Quality Control codes as defined below may be used in this report to indicate results that are associated with one or more quality control elements which did not fall within established test method criteria. Such results may be qualified as estimates using a J qualifier as required by 62-160 F.A.C. Explanations are included in the report for any results that were reported as estimates for other reasons.

QC Codes used in this report may include:

LCS – Recovery for the batch Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) was outside existing control limits;
MS – Recovery for the batch matrix spike (MS) was outside existing control limits;
CCV – Recovery for a continuing calibration verification (CCV) standard was outside existing control limits;
SUR – Recovery of a surrogate (SUR) for associated analytes was outside existing control limits;
RPD – The precision, measured as relative percent difference (RPD), of batch replicate measurements was outside existing control limits;
RSD – The precision, measured as relative standard deviation (RSD), of batch replicate measurements was outside existing control limits;
SMP – Sample - used precision derived from replicate analyses of a sample;

The following data qualifiers are used, where applicable, in this report as specified in 62-160 F.A.C.

A - Value reported is the mean of two or more determinations.
B - Results based on colony counts outside the acceptable range.
I - The reported value is between the laboratory method detection limit and the laboratory practical quantitation limit.
J - Estimated value and/or the analysis did not meet established quality control criteria.
K - Actual value is known to be less than value given.
L - Actual value is known to be greater than value given.
N - Presumptive evidence of presence of material.
O - Sampled, but analysis lost or not performed.
Q - Sample held beyond normal holding time.
T - Value reported is less than the criterion of detection.
U - Material was analyzed for but not detected. The reported value is the method detection limit for the sample analyzed.
V - Analyte was detected in both sample and method blank.
X - Too few individuals to calculate SCI value.
Y - The laboratory analysis was from an unpreserved or improperly preserved sample. The data may not be accurate.
Z - Colonies were too numerous to count (TNTC).

Quality control information from overflow laboratories may not be included in this report. Please refer to the associated report from the overflow laboratory for additional information.

Sample Location: LAKE ISTOKPOGA ALGAE NE

Collection Date/Time: 10/19/2016 11:22

Field ID: G4SD0151

Matrix: W-SURF-FRH

Sample ID	Ref. Method	Component	Result	Code	Units	Batch ID	QC Codes
1842365	SOP-AB05	Dominant sample taxon**	No Result				

Ref. Method and Comment:
 SOP-AB05: There was no clear dominant taxon in the sample.

Sample Location: LAKE ISTOKPOGA ALGAE NW

Collection Date/Time: 10/19/2016 11:00

Field ID: G4SD0152

Matrix: W-SURF-FRH

Sample ID	Ref. Method	Component	Result	Code	Units	Batch ID	QC Codes
1842366	SOP-AB05	Dominant sample taxon**	Other				

Ref. Method and Comment:
 SOP-AB05: The co-dominant taxa were Planktolylnbya limnetica (Class Cyanophyceae) and Planktolylnbya contorta (Class Cyanophyceae).

Sample Location: LAKE ISTOKPOGA ALGAE S

Collection Date/Time: 10/19/2016 12:15

Field ID: G4SD0153

Matrix: W-SURF-FRH

Sample ID	Ref. Method	Component	Result	Code	Units	Batch ID	QC Codes
1842367	SOP-AB05	Dominant sample taxon**	Other				

Ref. Method and Comment:
 SOP-AB05: The co-dominant taxa were Planktolylnbya contorta (Class Cyanophyceae) and Planktolylnbya limnetica (Class Cyanophyceae).

Sample Location: LAKE ISTOKPOGA ALGAE SE

Collection Date/Time: 10/19/2016 12:00

Field ID: G4SD0154

Matrix: W-SURF-FRH

Sample ID	Ref. Method	Component	Result	Code	Units	Batch ID	QC Codes
1842368	SOP-AB05	Dominant sample taxon**	Other				

Ref. Method and Comment:
 SOP-AB05: The co-dominant taxa were Planktolylnbya contorta (Class Cyanophyceae) and Microcystis aeruginosa (Class Cyanophyceae).

Sample Location: LAKE ISTOKPOGA ALGAE W

Collection Date/Time: 10/19/2016 10:42

Field ID: G4SD0155

Matrix: W-SURF-FRH

Sample ID	Ref. Method	Component	Result	Code	Units	Batch ID	QC Codes
1842369	SOP-AB05	Dominant sample taxon**	Other				

Ref. Method and Comment:
 SOP-AB05: The co-dominant taxa were Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii (Class Cyanophyceae) and Planktolylnbya contorta (Class Cyanophyceae).

Sample Location: LAKE ISTOKPOGA ALGAE CEN

Collection Date/Time: 10/19/2016 11:40

Field ID: G4SD0156

Matrix: W-SURF-FRH

Sample ID	Ref. Method	Component	Result	Code	Units	Batch ID	QC Codes
1842370	SOP-AB05	Dominant sample taxon**	Cyanophyceae				

Ref. Method and Comment:
 SOP-AB05: The dominant taxon was Planktolylnbya contorta.

Reference Method Descriptions

Method / DoH Cert #	Description	Associated Samples
SOP-AB05 / E31780	Assessment of dominant algal taxa in bloom or mat sample	1842365, 1842366, 1842367, 1842368, 1842369, 1842370

Preparation and Analysis Log

Ref. Method	Received Date	Prep Date/Time	Prepared By	Analysis Date/Time	Analyzed By	Associated Samples
SOP-AB05	10/20/2016			10/24/2016	Isaura Lorenzo-Perez	1842365, 1842366, 1842367, 1842368, 1842369, 1842370