



FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
STRATEGIC MONITORING PROGRAM FIELD SHEET

PAGE 1 OF 1

February 2016
RA-2016-04-25-25

Data Qualified?
Yes / No

STORET Station Name:

Date: 04-26-16
(mm/dd/yyyy)

STORET Station ID:

GS NE 0015

Latitude:

29.776389
(Decimal Degrees)

WBID:

2535B

RQ-2016-04-25-25

ORG ID:

21FLA

Longitude:

81.312972
(Decimal Degrees)

Receiving Body of Water:

ICW

Field ID:

County:

St Johns

Sampling Team Members

J Patrick
P O'Connor

WQ Measurements	Sample Collection	Documentation	Preservation	Preservation Check
☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Sampling Equipment

☒ Sample Container ☐ Van Dorn ☐ Pole
☐ Carboy ☐ Syringe
☐ Dipper ☐ Other
Equipment ID 451556#1

Collection Method

☒ Wading ☐ Via Boat
☐ From Shore ☐ Canoe/Kayak
☐ Other (note below) ☐ Electric Motor
☐ Gasoline Motor

Water Level: Dry / Low / Normal / High / Flooded

Matrix: Fresh Marine / DI

Meter ID#

YSI 556 #1

Photos:

Yes / No

Tide Falling:

Yes / No / NA

Time Zone	Time	Sample Depth (m)	Total Depth (m)	DO (mg/L)	DO (%SAT)	pH	Salinity (ppt)	Secchi Disk (m)	Sp COND (µmhos/cm)	Temp. (C°)
EST	1140	0.3	0.7	1.9	21	7.2	0.28	100	575	19.76
CEN								0.35		

Biological Samples Collected:

None

HA

SCI

LVS

RPS

LVI

Other

Parameter Suite	Parameter Methods	Preservation	Acid L. #	Expiration	pH Check
Preserved Nutrients	☒ W-NH3 / W-NO2NO3 / W-TKN / W-TOC / W-S-A-TP	☐ Ice ☒ H2SO4	5259020	9/16	☐ <2
Metals	☐ W-ICPMS ☐ W-ICP	☐ Ice ☐ HNO2			☐ <2
Filtered Nutrients	☒ W-PO4-F ☒ Field Filtered 0.45 µm Filter	☒ Ice			
Chlorophyll	☒ CHLSUITE-W	☒ Ice			
BOD	☐ BOD-ONIN	☐ Ice			
Physical/Aggregate (Kit A)	☒ Alkalinity / W-F / W-TSS / TURBIDITY / W-Cl-IC / W-COLOR / W-SO4-IC / W-TDS	☒ Ice			
Physical/Aggregate (Kit B)	☐ Alkalinity / W-F / W-TSS / TURBIDITY	☐ Ice			
Macroinvertebrates	☒ MI-1W QLCD	☒ Formalin			
Microbiology	☐ E Coli ☐ F Coli ☐ Entero ☐ QPCR	☐ Ice			
Periphyton	☐ ALGAE-ID	☐ Ice			
Pesticides	☐ W-PSNP-TQ ☐ W-AHERB-AA ☐ W-PYR-AA-R ☐ W-PC-AA-SF ☐ W-UHERB-AA ☐ W-GLYPH	☐ Ice ☐ Other			

Notes:

0 m² Aquatic Plants

Place Label Here
(If Available)

Signature:

P. O'Connor

Date:

04/26/16

Field Parameters Entered into LIMS:

(Initials/Date)

Field Parameters QC in LIMS:

(Initials/Date)

Date Migrated to STORET:

(Initials/Date)

Field Sheets Scanned/Saved

(Initials/Date)

Shio 20 4/28

76039
DEP Form FD 9000-5 Stream/River Habitat Assessment Field Sheet


SAMPLING AGENCY: <u>DEAR NEA ROC</u>		STORET STATION NUMBER: <u>G5NE0015</u>	DATE (MM/DD/YY): <u>04/26/16</u>	RECEIVING BODY OF WATER:
REMARKS:	COUNTY: <u>ST JOHNS</u>	LOCATION: <u>moses cr 400m Below US1</u>	FIELD ID/NAME:	

Habitat Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Primary Habitat Components	Four or more major productive habitats present (snags, tree roots, aquatic vegetation, leaf packs (partially decayed), rock)	Three major productive habitats present. Adequate habitat. Some substrates may be new fall (fresh leaves or snags)	Two major productive habitats present. Less than desirable habitat, frequently disturbed or removed	One or less major productive habitat. Lack of habitat is obvious, substrates unstable or smothered
Substrate Diversity <u>12</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 <u>12</u> 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
Substrate Availability <u>10</u> <u>8</u>	Greater than 30% major productive habitat present at site 20 19 18 17 16	16% to 30% major productive habitat, by aerial extent 15 14 13 12 11	6% to 15% major productive habitat <u>10</u> <u>9</u> <u>8</u> 7 6	Less than 5% major productive habitat 5 4 3 2 1
Water Velocity <u>7</u>	Max. observed at typical transect: > 0.25 m/sec. But < 1 m/sec ≥0.33 0.31 0.29 0.27 >0.25 20 19 18 17 16	Max. observed at typical transect: >0.1 to 0.25 m/sec 0.25 0.21 0.17 0.13 >0.1 15 14 13 12 11	Max. observed at typical transect: 0.05 to 0.1 m/sec 0.1 0.09 0.07 0.06 0.05 10 9 8 <u>7</u> 6	Max. observed at typical transect: <0.05 m/sec; or spate occurring: > 1 m/sec <0.05 0.04 0.03 0.01 <0.01 5 4 3 2 1
Habitat Smothering <u>13</u> Primary Score <u>4240</u> <u>38</u>	Adequate number of stable pools (1-2 per 12 times width) and <25% of habitats affected by sand, silt, or algae. 20 19 18 17 16	Adequate number of stable pools (1-2 per 12 times width) and >25% of habitats affected by sand, silt, or algae. 15 14 <u>13</u> 12 11	Does not have required number of stable pools (1-2 per 12 x width) and/or has shallow pools (<2 x prevailing depth). 10 9 8 7 6	Stable pools are absent. Most habitats affected by sand, silt, or algae accumulation. 5 4 3 2 1
Secondary Habitat Components Artificial Channelization <u>20</u>	Expected sinuosity given the stream width. No evidence of dredging or artificial straightening. No spoil banks. <u>20</u> 19 18 17 16	Good sinuosity within old channelized area. Evidence of dredging in the past (>25 yrs) but mostly recovered. 15 14 13 12 11	Straightened with trapezoidal cross section, but has a small degree of sinuosity developed within channelized area. 10 9 8 7 6	Straightened or engineered by dredging, has trapezoidal or box cut cross section, lacks required pools. May have spoil banks. 5 4 3 2 1
Bank Stability Right Bank <u>5</u> Left Bank <u>5</u>	Bankfull > 60% of bank height. Slope of bank ≤ 60° from bankfull to top of bank. Bankfull is within or above the root zone with few raw, eroded areas. 10 9	Only meets 2 of the 3 requirements for optimal bank stability. 8 7 6	Only meets 1 of the 3 requirements for optimal bank stability. <u>5</u> 4	Bankfull < 60% of bank height. Slope of bank > 60°. Bankfull is below the root zone with raw, eroded areas. 3 2 1
Riparian Buffer Zone Width Right Bank <u>10</u> Left Bank <u>10</u>	Width of vegetation greater than 18 m <u>10</u> 9	Width of vegetation >12 to 18m 8 7 6	Width of vegetation 6 to 12 m. human activities close to system 5 4	Less than 6 m of buffer zone due to intensive human activities 3 2 1
Riparian Zone Vegetation Quality Right Bank <u>10</u> Left Bank <u>10</u> Secondary Score <u>70</u>	Over 80% of riparian surfaces consist of normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions (e.g., native plants; tree, shrub, and forbs represented, if appropriate). Minimal disturbance. <u>10</u> 9	>50% to 80% of riparian zone is undisturbed (normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions). Some disruption in community observed. 8 7 6	25% to 50% of riparian zone is undisturbed (normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions). Disruption obvious. 5 4	Less than 25% of riparian zone is undisturbed (normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions). 3 2 1

217/110 TOTAL SCORE

Date: <u>04/26/16</u>	Analyst: <u>J PARRISH</u>	Signature:
-----------------------	---------------------------	------------

DEP Form FD 9000-4 Stream/River Habitat Assessment Sketch Sheet



Length of grid represents 100 m of stream (not linear meters).
(Horizontal scale is double vertical scale, draw proportionately).

Location: _____

Date: _____

Sampler:

100 m: Latitude _____

Longitude _____

0 m: Latitude

Longitude _____

Substrates: Code key, draw proportionate habitat abundance.	%
---	---

☒ Snags 4.5

XX	Roots/undercut banks	3.5
----	----------------------	-----

000 Leaf Packs (or mats) 3

☐ Aquatic Macrophytes

10

□

total # observed = _____

Average width _____ m

Average depth _____ m

Velocity measured at _____ meter mark.

WQ & chemistry taken at _____ meter mark.

Habitat Smothering:
Note areas (on map) where sand or silt is smothering substrates, limiting habitability.

Bank Stability:
Note areas (on map) with unstable, eroding banks.

Riparian Buffer Width:
Note areas (on map) where natural vegetation
is altered or eliminated.

Plants observed / other notes:

Plants observed / other notes.

$X = 0.5 \mid + 0.5 \mid + 1.5 \mid + 2 \mid 3.5$
6.5

$0 \quad \Pi = 0.5 \quad 0.5 \quad 0.5 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 1.5 \cdot 1 \quad 1$
8m = 4.5%

$00 = 0 \mid 5 \quad 0.5 \quad 0.5 \quad 1 \quad 0.5 \quad 0.5 \quad 0.5$
0.5 0.5 0.5 5.5m

2. = 3%

175m

Revision Date: March 1, 2014

Sc Qq 4/26/16

62-160.800, F.A.C

0m² AQUATIC PLANTS

DEP FORM FD 9000-25 Rapid Periphyton Survey Field Sheet

Site: Moose Creek @ 400 m below US1 County: St Johns Date: 04-26-16 Investigators: PARLISH O'Connor

STORET Station Number:

Transect (m)	Point 1=right 9=left	Algal Thickness Rank (N-6, X)	Estimated	Canopy Cover	Transect (m)	Point 1=right 9=left	Algal Thickness Rank (N-6, X)	Estimated	Canopy Cover	
0	1	N			60	1	N			
	2					2				
	3					3				
	4					4				
	5			96		5			96	
	6					6				
	7					7				
	8					8				
	9					9				
10	1	N			70	1	N			
	2					2				
	3					3				
	4					4				
	5			96		5			92	
	6					6				
	7					7				
	8					8				
	9					9				
20	1	N			80	1	N			
	2					2				
	3					3				
	4					4				
	5			94		5			94	
	6					6				
	7					7				
	8					8				
	9					9				
30	1	N			90	1	N			
	2					2				
	3					3				
	4					4				
	5			96		5			96	
	6					6				
	7					7				
	8					8				
	9					9				
40	1	N			100	1	N			
	2					2				
	3					3				
	4					4				
	5			96		5			96	
	6					6				
	7					7				
	8					8				
	9					9				
50	1	N				1	N			
	2					2				
	3					3				
	4					4				
	5			96		5			96	
	6					6				
	7					7				
	8					8				
	9					9				

Secchi depth
Estimated?

points ranked 4-6
total points assessed
% points ranked 4-6

Check accompanying data collected at same site/date.

Algal mat sample
Linear Veg Survey
Habitat Assessment
SCI/Biorecon
Water sample

RQ-

Algal Thickness Rank

N	rough, no algae, slimy, algae up to 1mm
3	>1mm - 6mm
4	>6mm - 20mm
5	>20mm - 10 cm
6	>10 cm

Record "N" and check "Estimated" for points deeper than the Secchi depth; algae are presumed absent. Check "Estimated" if thickness estimated for deep points which can be seen but not reached.

Record "X" for points shallower than Secchi depth for which substrate cannot be seen or reached with the hand. No estimated rank.

Record canopy cover as the number of small densiometer quadrants (out of 96) that HAVE canopy cover. Measure facing upstream at point 4, 5, or 6.

Collect algal mat sample following DEP SOP FS 7240 if the percentage of total sampled points with a thickness rank of 4, 5, or 6 is >20%.

SC
04/26/16

DEP Form FD 9000-3 Physical/Chemical Characterization Field Sheet

SAMPLE ID _____ ORG ID _____ LATITUDE _____
 COUNTY St Johns STORET # 95NE0015 LONGITUDE _____
 DATE 04-26-16 TIME 1140 SAMPLING AGENCY DEAR NED ROL
 SITE NAME MOSES Creek 400m below US1
 FIELD ID/NAME _____ RECEIVING BODY OF WATER ICW

RIPARIAN ZONE / STREAM FEATURES

Meter ID: YSI 556 #1

PREDOMINANT LAND-USE IN WATERSHED (specify relative percent in each category): Forest/Natural <u>70</u> Silviculture <u>20</u> Field/Pasture <u>05</u> Agricultural <u>05</u> Residential <u>05</u> Commercial <u>05</u> Industry <u>05</u> Other (Specify) <u>05</u>								Landscape Development Intensity Index (LDI) _____	
Local Watershed Erosion (select one): ☐ None ☐ Slight ☒ Moderate ☐ Heavy Local Watershed NPS Pollution: ☐ No evidence ☒ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy						Typical Width (m) Depth (m)/Velocity (m/sec) Transect <u>2</u> m wide <u>0.08</u> m/s <u>0.2</u> m deep			
Width of Riparian Vegetation (m) on Each Buffer Side Left Bank: <u>>18m</u> Right Bank: <u>>18m</u>				Hydrologic Modification Score (per FT 3101) _____					
High Water Mark: <u>1.2</u> + <u>0.2</u> = <u>1.4</u> (m) (above present water level) (present depth) (above bed)				Artificially Impounded ☐ Yes ☒ No					
Artificially ☒ No ☐ Mostly recovered, more sinuous Channelized: ☐ Some recovery ☐ Recent, severe				Canopy Cover % ☐ Open ☐ Lightly Shaded (11-45%) ☒ Heavily Shaded ☐ Moderate Shaded (46-80%)					

SEDIMENT / SUBSTRATE

Sediment Oils ☒ Absent ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Profuse	Sediment Odors ☒ Normal ☐ Sewage ☐ Petroleum ☐ Chemical ☐ Anaerobic ☐ Other (Specify): _____	Smothering of Substrates Sand Smothering: None ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☒ Severe ☐ Silt Smothering: None ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☒ Severe ☐ Algae Smothering: None ☒ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Severe ☐
--	---	---

SUBSTRATE TYPE Assessment Tool: ☒ SCI ☒ RPS ☐ LVS ☐ LCI ☐ BioRecon				WATER QUALITY							
Invert PERI % Coverage # Times Sampled # Times Sampled				Depth (M)	Temp. (°C)	pH (SU)	D.O. (MG/L)	D.O. Sat (%)	Cond. (UMHO/CM)	Salinity (PPT)	SECCHI (M)
Woody Debris (Snags)				Top							0.35
Undercut Banks / Roots				Mid	0.1	19.76	7.2	1.9	21	575	0.28
Leaf Packs or Mat				Bottom							0.3
Aquatic Vegetation				System Type: Stream ☒ Lake ☐ Wetland ☐ Estuary ☐ Other (Specify) _____							
Rock or Shell Rubble				Water Odors Normal ☒ Petroleum ☐ Sewage ☐ Chemical ☐ Other ☐				Water Surface Oils Normal ☒ Sheen ☐ Globbs ☐ Slick ☐ Other ☐			
Sand				Water Sample Taken? ☒ Yes ☐ No Sample Preserved? ☐ Yes ☐ No Lot Number: <u>5259020</u> Exp: <u>09/2016</u>				Color Tannic ☒ Green (Algae) ☐ Clear ☐ Other (Specify) _____			
Mud / Muck / Silt				Algae Sample Taken? ☐ Yes ☒ No Sample Preserved? ☐ Yes ☐ No Lot Number: _____ Exp: _____				Clarity Clear ☒ Slightly Turbid ☐ Turbid ☐ Opaque ☐			
Other											
Other											


ABUNDANCE Not Obs. Rare Common Abundant Periphyton: ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ Fish: ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ Aquatic Plants: ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ Iron/Sulfur Bacteria: ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐				AMBIENT FIELD CONDITIONS / NOTES: <u>RQ-2016-04-25-25</u> <u>0 M² AQUATIC PLANTS</u> Hydrologic Disturbance Scoring ☐ 1-2 ☒ 3-4 ☐ 5-6 ☐ 7-8 ☐ 9-10 ☒ The antecedent hydrologic conditions have been met to my best knowledge.							
---	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

SAMPLING TEAM J Parrish, PO'Connor SIGNATURE: [Signature]


Se. Caff 4/26/16

Percent Cover Categories for macrophytes in a 10 m segment of a stream 5 m wide


5% Macrophyte Coverage




5% Macrophyte Coverage



10% Macrophyte Coverage




10% Macrophyte Coverage




25% Macrophyte Coverage




25% Macrophyte Coverage



50% Macrophyte Coverage



50% Macrophyte Coverage



CALIBRATION AND VERIFICATION LOG (FDEP SOP FT 1000-FT 1500, FD 1000-FD 4000)

Boldly "X" this box if there is qualified data on

Meter ID:

451556 #1

Project:

US UEC SCI

RD-2016-04-25-25

- Notes: (1) Numbers ≤ 4 , are rounded down; numbers ≥ 5 are rounded up (e.g., 5.15 becomes 5.2).
 (2) Always wait for meter to stabilize before recording any readings.
 (3) For Calibrations, record calibrated meter reading. Do not record initial meter reading before calibration.

Circle/Fill In: Lab Calibration or Calibration/Verification on Site

Temperature (Quarterly) FT 1400

Date of Last Temperature Verification

04/2016

DO DEP SOP FT 1400	Name	Date	Time CT-ET	Temp	D.O. Chart mg/L	Meter D.O. mg/L	% DO	Probe Charge	Probe Gain	Pass /	Lab /
Calibr.	P O'Connor	04-26-16	0710	23.0	8.57	8.57	100.0	—	—	Pass	LAB
ICV	↓	↓	0712	23.0	8.57	8.58	100.0	—	—	Pass	LAB
CCV	P O'Connor	04/26/16	1425	24.7	8.31	8.33	100.2	—	—	Pass	LAB
CCV											

DO

Report DO mg/L with one decimal figure and DO % saturation as a whole number with no decimals.

Acceptance criteria from Table ± 0.3 mg/L.

Rapid-Pulse Sensors: DO Gain Range 0.7 to 1.4; DO Charge Range 25-75.

Optical: DO gain range 0.85 to 1.15; DO charge N/A. Steady-state & Galvanic Sensors: DO Gain & Charge N/A.

Spec.	Name	Date	Time CT-ET	Lot #	Expir. Date	Standard μ mhos/cm	Meter Reading μ mhos/cm	Pass /	Lab /
Cond.									
Calibr.	P. O'Connor	04-26-16	0714	160216A	02/2017	1000	1000	Pass	LAB
ICV	↓	↓	0716	↓	↓	↓	1000	Pass	LAB
CCV	P O'Connor	04/26/16	1427	160216B	08/2016	100	102	Pass	LAB
CCV									

Report specific conductance as a whole number with no decimal figure. Conductivity Acceptance criteria $\pm 5\%$

pH	Name	Date	Time CT-ET	Lot #	Expir. Date	pH Buffer SU	Meter reading SU	mV	Pass /	Lab /
DEP SOP										
Calibr.	P O'Connor	04-26-16	0720	2509677	08/2017	7.0	7.00	-39.6	Pass	LAB
Calibr.	↓	↓	0730	2509F74	09/2017	4.0	4.00	-41.5	Pass	LAB
Calibr.	↓	↓	0735	2510A88	04/2017	10.0	9.98	-212.3	Pass	LAB
ICV	↓	↓	0737	2509677	04/2017	7.0	7.05	-39.3	Pass	LAB
CCV			1430	2510A88	04/2017	10.0	9.98	-212.8	Pass	LAB
CCV										

Report pH with one decimal place; pH Acceptance criteria ± 0.2 SU; mV pH7 Range 0 ± 50 ; mV pH 4 Range $+180 \pm 50$;
 mV pH 10 Range -180 ± 50 ; Slope from 7 to 10 and 4 to 7 must be between 165 and 180 m

Depth (Quarterly)

N/A

Date of Last Depth Verification

N/A

Depth Sensor	Name	Date	Time	Zero the Sensor	ICV Value	Pass /	Lab /
Pressure mode in air				0.000			

ICV acceptance criteria $\pm 5\%$ or ± 0.05 m, whichever is greater

Sc 10/26/16

QA/QC Sample Information

Duplicate

Time Zone: EST CEN		Sample Depth (m): _____		Field ID: _____	
Time: _____		Total Depth (m): _____			
Parameter Suite	Parameter Methods	Preservation	Acid Lot#	Expiration	pH Check
Preserved Nutrients	☐ TKN / TP / Nox / NH3 / TOC ☐ Other _____	☐ Ice ☐ H ₂ SO ₄			☐ < 2
Metals	☐ W-ICPMS ☐ W-ICP	☐ Ice ☐ HNO ₃			☐ < 2
Filtered Nutrients	☐ oP ☐ Field Filtered 0.45 µm Filter	☐ Ice	Place Preservative Sticker(s) Here (If Available)		
Chlorophyll	☐ CHLSUITE-W	☐ Ice			
BOD	☐ BOD-INHB ☐ BOD-UNIN	☐ Ice			
Physical/Aggregate	☐ TSS / Turbidity / Alkalinity / Color ☐ Other _____	☐ Ice			
Microbiology	☐ E Coli ☐ F Coli ☐ Entero ☐ QPCR	☐ Ice			
Pesticides	☐ W-PSNP-TQ ☐ W-AHERB-AA ☐ W-PYR-AA-R ☐ W-PC-AA-SF ☐ W-UHERB-AA ☐ W-GLYPH	☐ Ice ☐ Other _____			

Blank

Time Zone: EST CEN		Time: _____		Field ID: _____		Location: _____	
☐ Field Blank ☐ Equipment Blank ☐ Field Cleaned Equipment Blank		Equipmet ID: _____					
Parameter Suite	Parameter Methods	Preservation	Acid Lot#	Expiration	pH Check		
Preserved Nutrients	☐ TKN / TP / Nox / NH3 / TOC ☐ Other _____	☐ Ice ☐ H ₂ SO ₄			☐ < 2		
Metals	☐ W-ICPMS ☐ W-ICP	☐ Ice ☐ HNO ₃			☐ < 2		
Filtered Nutrients	☐ oP ☐ Field Filtered 0.45 µm Filter	☐ Ice	Place Preservative Sticker(s) Here (If Available)				
Chlorophyll	☐ CHLSUITE-W	☐ Ice					
BOD	☐ BOD-INHB ☐ BOD-UNIN	☐ Ice					
Physical/Aggregate	☐ TSS / Turbidity / Alkalinity / Color ☐ Other _____	☐ Ice					
Microbiology	☐ E Coli ☐ F Coli ☐ Entero ☐ QPCR	☐ Ice					
Pesticides	☐ W-PSNP-TQ ☐ W-AHERB-AA ☐ W-PYR-AA-R ☐ W-PC-AA-SF ☐ W-UHERB-AA ☐ W-GLYPH	☐ Ice ☐ Other _____					

Notes:		Place Label Here (If Available)	
Signature: _____		Date: _____	

Field Parameters Entered into LIMS: _____ (Initials/Date) Field Parameters QC in LIMS: _____ (Initials/Date)

Date Migrated to STORET: _____ (Initials/Date) Field Sheets Scanned/Saved: _____ (Initials/Date)