



FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION STRATEGIC MONITORING PROGRAM FIELD SHEET

PAGE 1 OF 1
Data Qualified?
Yes / No

October 2016

STORET Station Name: 11/21/2016
WBID 3258D2
STORET Station ID: Estero River @ E
County: Lee RQ
Receiving Body of Water: Estero Bay
Field ID: G3SD112112-2
28020262FTM

Date: (mm/dd/yyyy)
Latitude: (Decimal Degrees)
Longitude: (Decimal Degrees)

Sampling Team Members					WQ Measurements	Sample Collection	Documentation	Preservation	Preservation Check
Corey Anderson									
Rosemary Murphy									
Ben Paswater									

Sampling Equipment		
☒ Sample Container	☐ Van Dorn	☐ Pole
☐ Carboy	☒ Syringe	
☐ Dipper	☐ Other	
Equipment ID		
Collection Method		
☒ Wading	☐ Via Boat	
☐ From Shore	☐ Canoe/Kayak	
☐ Other (note below)	☐ Electric Motor	
	☐ Gasoline Motor	

Time Zone	Time	Sample Depth (m)	Total Depth (m)	DO (mg/L)	DO (%SAT)	pH	Salinity (ppt)	Secchi Disk (m)	Sp COND (µmhos/cm)	Temp. (C°)
EST	1050	0.3	1.2	6.3	67	7.7	-	1.2	350	18.1
CEN										

Water Level: Dry / Low / Normal / High / Flooded
Matrix: Fresh / Marine / DI
Meter ID# 4515
Photos: Yes / No
Tide Falling: Yes / No / NA

Parameter Suite	Parameter Methods	Preservation	Acid Lot#	Expiration	pH Check
Preserved Nutrients	☒ W-NH3 / W-NO2NO3 / W-TKN / W-TOC / W-S-A-TP	☒ Ice ☒ H2SO4	6209120	7/17	☒ <2
Metals	☐ W-ICPMS ☐ W-ICP	☐ Ice ☐ HNO2			☐ <2
Filtered Nutrients	☒ W-PO4-F ☒ Field Filtered 0.45 µm Filter	☒ Ice			
Chlorophyll	☒ CHLSUITE-W	☒ Ice			
Physical/Aggregate (Fresh)	☒ Alkalinity / W-F / W-TSS / TURBIDITY / W-CI-IC / W-COLOR / W-SO4-IC / W-TDS	☒ Ice			
Physical/Aggregate (Marine)	☐ Alkalinity / W-F / W-TSS / TURBIDITY	☐ Ice			
Macroinvertebrates	☐ MI-FW-QLDC	☐ Formalin			
Microbiology	☐ E Coli ☐ F Coli ☐ Enterococcus ☐ QPCR	☐ Ice			
Periphyton	☐ ALGAE-ID	☐ Ice			
Pesticides	☐ W-PSNP-TQ ☐ W-AHERB-AA ☐ W-PYR-AA-R ☐ W-PC-AA-SF ☐ W-UHERB-AA ☐ W-GLYPH	☐ Ice ☐ Other			

Place Preservative Sticker(s) Here (If Available)

Notes:

Signature: [Signature] Date: 11-21-16

Place Label Here (If Available)

Field Parameters Entered into LIMS: (Initials/Date) Field Parameters QC in LIMS: (Initials/Date)
Date Migrated to STORET: (Initials/Date) Field Sheets Scanned/Saved: (Initials/Date)

11/21/2016
WBID 3258D2

G3SD112112-2

21FLFTM

LATITUDE

Estero River @ E
BroadwaySTORET
28020262FTM

LONGITUDE

SAMPLING AGENCY FDEP South ROC

SITE NAME see sticker

RECEIVING BODY OF WATER

Estero Bay

RIPARIAN ZONE / STREAM FEATURES

PREDOMINANT LAND-USE IN WATERSHED (specify relative percent in each category): Forest/Natural ☐ 20 ☐ Silviculture ☐ Field/Pasture ☐ 10 ☐ Agricultural ☐ Residential ☐ 60 ☐ Commercial ☐ 10 ☐ Industry ☐ Other (Specify) ☐								Landscape Development Intensity Index (LDI) ☐	
Local Watershed Erosion (select one): ☐ None ☒ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy Local Watershed NPS Pollution: ☒ No evidence ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy						Typical Width (m) Depth (m)/Velocity (m/sec) Transect ☐ 1.3 m wide			
Width of Riparian Vegetation (m) on Each Buffer Side Left Bank: ☐ 17 ☐ Right Bank: ☐ 17				Hydrologic Modification Score (per FT 3101) ☐				☐ 0.17 m/s ☐ 0.2 m/s ☐ 0.17 m/s	
High Water Mark: ☐ 0.6 + ☐ 1.2 = ☐ 1.8 (m) (above present water level) (present depth) (above bed)				Artificially Impounded ☐ Yes ☒ No				☐ 0.5 m deep ☐ 1.2 m deep ☐ 0.5 m deep	
Artificially ☒ No ☐ Mostly recovered, more sinuous Channelized: ☐ Some recovery ☐ Recent, severe				Canopy Cover % ☐ Open ☐ Lightly Shaded (11-45%) ☒ Heavily Shaded ☐ Moderate Shaded (46-80%)					

SEDIMENT / SUBSTRATE

Sediment Oils ☐ Absent ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Profuse			Sediment Odors ☐ Normal ☐ Sewage ☐ Petroleum ☐ Chemical ☐ Anaerobic ☐ Other (Specify):			Smothering of Substrates Sand Smothering: None ☐ Slight ☒ Moderate ☐ Severe ☐ Silt Smothering: None ☐ Slight ☒ Moderate ☐ Severe ☐ Algae Smothering: None ☒ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Severe ☐		
SUBSTRATE TYPE Assessment Tool: ☐ SCI ☒ RPS ☒ LVS ☐ LCI ☐ BioRecon Invert ☐ PERI % Coverage # Times Sampled # Times Sampled			WATER QUALITY Depth (M) Temp. (°C) pH (SU) D.O. (MG/L) D.O. Sat (%) Cond. (UMHO/CM) Salinity (PPT) SECCHI (M) Top: ☐ 0.3 ☐ 18.1 ☐ 7.7 ☐ 6.3 ☐ 67 ☐ 350 ☐ 1.2 Mid: ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒ VOB Bottom: ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ TOTAL DEPTH Meter ID: ☐ 5					
Woody Debris (Snags) ☐ ☐ ☐ Undercut Banks / Roots ☐ ☐ ☐ Leaf Packs or Mat ☐ ☐ ☐ Aquatic Vegetation ☐ ☐ ☐ Rock or Shell Rubble.. ☐ ☐ ☐ Sand..... ☐ ☐ ☐ Mud / Muck / Silt ☐ ☐ ☐ Other ☐ ☐ ☐ Other ☐ ☐ ☐			Water Odors Normal ☒ Petroleum ☐ Sewage ☐ Chemical ☐ Other ☐			Water Surface Oils Normal ☒ Sheen ☐ Globbs ☐ Slick ☐ Other ☐		
Water Sample Taken? ☒ Yes ☐ No Sample Preserved? ☐ Yes ☐ No Lot Number: _____ Exp: _____			Color Tannic ☐ Green (Algae) ☐ Clear ☒ Other (Specify) ☐			Clarity Clear ☒ Slightly Turbid ☐ Turbid ☐ Opaque ☐		
ABUNDANCE Not Obs. Rare Common Abundant Periphyton: ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ Fish: ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ Aquatic Plants: ☐ ☒ ☒ ☐ Iron/Sulfur Bacteria: ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐			System Type: Stream ☒ Lake ☐ Wetland ☐ Estuary ☐ Other(Specify) ☐			AMBIENT FIELD CONDITIONS / NOTES: ☒ The antecedent hydrologic conditions have been met to my best knowledge.		
SAMPLING TEAM BF, CA, RM			SIGNATURE: [Signature]			DATE: 11/21/16		

FIELD ID/NAME: <u>7</u>		COUNTY: <u>Lee</u>	STORET STATION NUMBER: <u>28020262FTM</u>	DATE (MM/DD/YY):	SAMPLING AGENCY: FDEP South ROC
		LOCATION: <u>Estero Bay</u>	RECEIVING BODY OF WATER:		


Habitat Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Primary Habitat Components	Four or more major productive habitats present [snags, tree roots, aquatic vegetation, leaf packs (partially decayed), rock]	Three major productive habitats present. Adequate habitat. Some substrates may be new fall (fresh leaves or snags)	Two major productive habitats present. Less than desirable habitat, frequently disturbed or removed	One or less major productive habitat. Lack of habitat is obvious, substrates unstable or smothered
Substrate Diversity <u>10</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	<u>10</u> 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
Substrate Availability <u>15</u>	Greater than 30% major productive habitat present at site 20 19 18 17 16	16% to 30% major productive habitat, by aerial extent <u>15</u> 14 13 12 11	6% to 15% major productive habitat 10 9 8 7 6	Less than 5% major productive habitat 5 4 3 2 1
Water Velocity <u>13</u>	Max. observed at typical transect: > 0.25 m/sec. But < 1 m/sec ≥0.33 0.31 0.29 0.27 >0.25 20 19 18 17 16	Max. observed at typical transect: >0.1 to 0.25 m/sec 0.25 0.21 0.17 0.13 >0.1 15 14 <u>13</u> 12 11	Max. observed at typical transect: 0.05 to 0.1 m/sec 0.1 0.09 0.07 0.06 0.05 10 9 8 7 6	Max. observed at typical transect: <0.05 m/sec; or spate occurring: > 1 m/sec <0.05 0.04 0.03 0.01 <0.01 5 4 3 2 1
Habitat Smothering <u>14</u> ----- Primary Score <u>52</u>	Adequate number of stable pools (1-2 per 12 times width) and <25% of habitats affected by sand, silt, or algae. 20 19 18 17 16	Adequate number of stable pools (1-2 per 12 times width) and >25% of habitats affected by sand, silt, or algae. 15 <u>14</u> 13 12 11	Does not have required number of stable pools (1-2 per 12 x width) and/or has shallow pools (<2 x prevailing depth). 10 9 8 7 6	Stable pools are absent. Most habitats affected by sand, silt, or algae accumulation. 5 4 3 2 1
Secondary Habitat Components	Expected sinuosity given the stream width. No evidence of dredging or artificial straightening. No spoil banks.	Good sinuosity within old channelized area. Evidence of dredging in the past (>25 yrs) but mostly recovered.	Straightened with trapezoidal cross section, but has a small degree of sinuosity developed within channelized area.	Straightened or engineered by dredging, has trapezoidal or box cut cross section, lacks required pools. May have spoil banks.
Artificial Channelization <u>18</u>	20 19 <u>18</u> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
Bank Stability	Bankfull > 60% of bank height. Slope of bank ≤ 60° from bankfull to top of bank. Bankfull is within or above the root zone with few raw, eroded areas. Right Bank <u>10</u> Left Bank <u>10</u> <u>RL</u> <u>10</u> 9	Only meets 2 of the 3 requirements for optimal bank stability. 8 7 6	Only meets 1 of the 3 requirements for optimal bank stability. 5 4	Bankfull < 60% of bank height. Slope of bank > 60°. Bankfull is below the root zone with raw, eroded areas. 3 2 1
Riparian Buffer Zone Width	Width of vegetation greater than 18 m Right Bank <u>9</u> Left Bank <u>8</u> <u>R</u> 10 <u>9</u>	Width of vegetation >12 to 18m <u>L</u> <u>8</u> 7 6	Width of vegetation 6 to 12 m. human activities close to system 5 4	Less than 6 m of buffer zone due to intensive human activities 3 2 1
Riparian Zone Vegetation Quality	Over 80% of riparian surfaces consist of normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions (e.g., native plants; tree, shrub, and forbs represented, if appropriate). Minimal disturbance. Right Bank <u>9</u> Left Bank <u>9</u> ----- Secondary Score <u>73</u> <u>RL</u> 10 <u>9</u>	>50% to 80% of riparian zone is undisturbed (normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions). Some disruption in community observed. 8 7 6	25% to 50% of riparian zone is undisturbed (normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions). Disruption obvious. 5 4	Less than 25% of riparian zone is undisturbed (normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions). 3 2 1

125 TOTAL SCORE

Date: <u>11/21/14</u>	Analyst: <u>Ben Paswater</u>	Signature: <u>Ben Paswater</u>
-----------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------------

Rocks = 8 = 1.3 %
Swags = 144 = 24.8
Root = 14 = 2.4

boxes 580



28020262FTM

Signature: _____

Signature: _____

28020262FTM

$V_{eg} < 2m^2$

Cypress Trees

DEP FORM FD 9000-25 Rapid Periphyton Survey Field Sheet

County: Lee Investigators: Ben P. Carey A. Rosemary M 11/21/16

STORET Station Number:

Transect (m)	Point 1=right 9=left	Algal Thickness Rank (N-6, X)	Estimated	Canopy Cover	Transect (m)	Point 1=right 9=left	Algal Thickness Rank (N-6, X)	Estimated	Canopy Cover
0	1			96	60	1			96
	2	N				2	N		
	3					3			
	4					4			
	5					5			
	6					6			
	7					7			
	8					8			
	9					9			
10	1			96	70	1			96
	2					2			
	3					3			
	4					4			
	5					5			
	6					6			
	7					7			
	8					8			
	9					9			
20	1			96	80	1			96
	2					2			
	3					3			
	4					4			
	5					5			
	6					6			
	7					7			
	8					8			
	9					9			
30	1			96	90	1			96
	2					2			
	3					3			
	4					4			
	5					5			
	6					6			
	7					7			
	8					8			
	9					9			
40	1			96	100	1			96
	2					2			
	3					3			
	4					4			
	5					5			
	6					6			
	7					7			
	8					8			
	9					9			
50	1			96		1			
	2					2			
	3					3			
	4					4			
	5					5			
	6					6			
	7					7			
	8					8			
	9					9			

Secchi depth 1.2 vo B
Estimated?# points ranked 4-6
total points assessed
% points ranked 4-6Check accompanying data
collected at same site/date.Algal mat sample
Linear Veg Survey ☒
Habitat Assessment ☒
SCI/Biorecon
Water sample ☒

RQ-

Algal Thickness Rank

N	rough, no algae, slimy, algae up to 1mm
3	>1mm - 6mm
4	>6mm - 20mm
5	>20mm - 10 cm
6	>10 cm

Record "N" and check "Estimated" for points deeper than the Secchi depth; algae are presumed absent. Check "Estimated" if thickness estimated for deep points which can be seen but not reached.

Record "X" for points shallower than Secchi depth for which substrate cannot be seen or reached with the hand. No estimated rank.

Record canopy cover as the number of small densiometer quadrants (out of 96) that HAVE canopy cover. Measure facing upstream at point 4, 5, or 6.

Collect algal mat sample following DEP SOP FS 7240 if the percentage of total sampled points with a thickness rank of 4, 5, or 6 is >20%.