From:	Jeremy Bosso
To:	Mirabito, Anthony
Cc:	Sprague, Kara
Subject:	Re: Sampling Clarification for 9/10/2019
Date:	Friday, September 13, 2019 11:49:33 AM
Attachments:	STF_2019_09_10A.pdf

Hello Anthony,

We received 4 of the 5 samples for RQ-2019-09-09-50 total. We did not receive a sample for Sandy Point Creek. For this set, there were two groups of samplers, each collecting a portion of that set on same day. I believe they both printed the same pre-filled Sample Transmittal Form and just crossed out the ones that they weren't collecting. See page 1 and 2 in the attached file (STF_2019_09_09_10A.pdf) for both Sample Transmittal Forms for RQ-2019-09-09-50. One group collected 1 site and the other collected 3.

Regarding RQ-2019-09-09-48, I'm not sure why the other IDs were added to that Transmittal Form and then crossed out, but if I had to guess, it was probably a typo and they wrote them on the wrong form and then then crossed them out when they realized they were for a different RQ.

I hope this helps. Let me know if you have any other questions. Have a great day!

Thank you,

Jeremy Bosso, MPH
Technical Director, Wetlands Research Laboratory
University of West Florida
11000 University Pkwy
Pensacola, FL 32514
(850) 857-6096

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail communication and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information for the use of the designated recipient(s) named above only and is not to be passed on to other persons, unless otherwise stated. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this communication in error and that any review, disclosure, dissemination, distribution or copying of it or its contents is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please delete the communication and ***notify the sender that the message was received in error***.

On Fri, Sep 13, 2019 at 8:29 AM Mirabito, Anthony <Anthony.Mirabito@floridadep.gov> wrote:

> Hello Jeremy,
>
> I was wondering if you could clarify why four of the five samples for RQ-2019-09-09-50 are crossed out in the chain of custody but are still in the corresponding report? It looks like the four samples that are crossed out are added to the chain of custody for RQ-2019-09-09-48 but are not on the report for that chain of custody. Also the chain of custody for RQ-2019-09-09-50 has a sample from Sandy Point Creek that is crossed off but it does not show up of

either of the reports.

Thanks,

Anthony Mirabito