DEP Form FD 9000-3 Physical/Chemical Characterization Field Sheet

SAMPLE ID _____ ORG ID 21FLWPB LATITUDE _____
COUNTY St. Lucie STORET # _____ LONGITUDE _____
DATE 12/09/2021 TIME 1230 SAMPLING AGENCY 21FLWPB
SITE NAME Ten-mile creek North of Bennington Lane
FIELD ID/NAME G25E0064 RECEIVING BODY OF WATER St. Lucie N. Fork

RIPARIAN ZONE / STREAM FEATURES

PREDOMINANT LAND-USE IN WATERSHED (specify relative percent in each category):

Forest/Natural	Silviculture	Field/Pasture	Agricultural	Residential	Commercial	Industry	Other (Specify)
15		20	10	30	15	10	

Landscape Development Intensity Index (LDI): 2.1

Local Watershed Erosion (select one): ☐ None ☐ Slight ☑ Moderate ☐ Heavy

Local Watershed NPS Pollution: ☐ No evidence ☐ Slight ☑ Moderate ☐ Heavy

Width of Riparian Vegetation (m) on Each Buffer Side
Left Bank: 15 Right Bank: 15

Hydrologic Modification Score (per FT 3101): 46

High Water Mark: 0.3 (m) + 1.0 (above present water level) = 1.3 (present depth) (above bed)

Artificially Impounded ☑ Yes ☐ No

Typical Width (m) Depth (m)/Velocity (m/sec) Transect
20.0 m wide

.2 m/s	.2 m/s	.2 m/s
1 m deep	1 m deep	1 m deep

Artificially Channelized: ☐ No ☐ Some recovery ☐ Mostly recovered, more sinuous ☑ Recent, severe

Canopy Cover % ☑ Open ☐ Lightly Shaded (11-45%) ☐ Heavily Shaded ☐ Moderate Shaded (46-80%)

SEDIMENT / SUBSTRATE

Sediment Oils ☑ Absent ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Profuse

Sediment Odors ☑ Normal ☐ Sewage ☐ Petroleum ☐ Chemical ☐ Anaerobic ☐ Other (Specify):

Smothering of Substrates
Sand Smothering: ☐ None ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Severe ☑
Silt Smothering: ☐ None ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Severe ☑
Algae Smothering: ☑ None ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Severe

SUBSTRATE TYPE
Assessment Tool: ☐ SCI ☐ RPS ☐ LVS ☐ LCI ☐ BioRecon

	% Coverage	INVERT # Times Sampled	PERI # Times Sampled
Woody Debris (Snags)	<1		
Undercut Banks / Roots	<1		
Leaf Packs or Mat			
Aquatic Vegetation			
Rock or Shell Rubble..			
Sand.....			
Mud / Muck / Silt			
Other			
Other			

WATER QUALITY

	Depth (M)	Temp. (°C)	pH (SU)	D.O. (MG/L)	D.O. Sat (%)	Cond. (UMHO/CM)	Salinity (PPT)	SECCHI (M)
Top:	0.3	21.8	7.46	7.15	81.7	1609	0.81	0.8
Mid:								☐ VOB
Bottom								TOTAL DEPTH

Meter ID: Hach — TOTAL DEPTH: 1.0

Water Odors Normal ☑ Petroleum ☐ Sewage ☐ Chemical ☐ Other ☐

Water Surface Oils Normal ☑ Sheen ☐ Globs ☐ Slick ☐ Other ☐

Water Sample Taken? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Sample Preserved? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Lot Number: SA1105060 Exp: 04/30/22

Color Tannic ☐ Green (Algae) ☐ Clear ☑ Other (Specify) ☐

Algae Sample Taken? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Sample Preserved? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Lot Number: _____ Exp: _____

Clarity Clear ☐ Slightly Turbid ☑ Turbid ☐ Opaque ☐

ABUNDANCE

	Not Obs.	Rare	Common	Abundant
Periphyton:	☑	☐	☐	☐
Fish:	☐	☑	☐	☐
Aquatic Plants:	☑	☐	☐	☐
Iron/Sulfur Bacteria:	☑	☐	☐	☐

System Type: Stream ☑ Lake ☐ Wetland ☐ Estuary ☐ Other(Specify) ☐

AMBIENT FIELD CONDITIONS / NOTES:

☑ The antecedent hydrologic conditions have been met to my best knowledge.

SAMPLING TEAM Jordan Skaggs Drew Liddick

SIGNATURE: [signature] DATE: 12/09/21

DEP Form FD 9000-5 Stream/River Habitat Assessment Field Sheet

SAMPLING AGENCY:		STORET STATION NUMBER:	DATE (MM/DD/YY):	RECEIVING BODY OF WATER:
ZIFLWPB			12/09/2021	St. Lucie N. Fork
REMARKS:	COUNTY: St. Lucie	LOCATION: Ten-mile		FIELD ID/NAME: GZSE0064

Habitat Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Primary Habitat Components **Substrate Diversity** 6	Four or more major productive habitats present [snags, tree roots, aquatic vegetation, leaf packs (partially decayed), rock] 20 19 18 17 16	Three major productive habitats present. Adequate habitat. Some substrates may be new fall (fresh leaves or snags) 15 14 13 12 11	Two major productive habitats present. Less than desirable habitat, frequently disturbed or removed 10 9 8 7 (6)	One or less major producti habitat. Lack of habitat is obvious, substrates unstab or smothered 5 4 3 2 1
Substrate Availability 1	Greater than 30% major productive habitat present at site 20 19 18 17 16	16% to 30% major productive habitat, by aerial extent 15 14 13 12 11	6% to 15% major productive habitat 10 9 8 7 6	Less than 5% major productive habitat 5 4 3 2 (1)
Water Velocity 14	Max. observed at typical transect: > 0.25 m/sec. But < 1 m/sec ≥0.33 0.31 0.29 0.27 >0.25 20 19 18 17 16	Max. observed at typical transect: >0.1 to 0.25 m/sec 0.25 0.21 0.17 0.13 >0.1 15 (14) 13 12 11	Max. observed at typical transect: 0.05 to 0.1 m/sec 0.1 0.09 0.07 0.06 0.05 10 9 8 7 6	Max. observed at typical transect: <0.05 m/sec; or spate occurring: > 1 m/sec <0.05 0.04 0.03 0.01 <0.0 5 4 3 2 1
Habitat Smothering 3 Primary Score 24	Adequate number of stable pools (1-2 per 12 times width) and <25% of habitats affected by sand, silt, or algae. 20 19 18 17 16	Adequate number of stable pools (1-2 per 12 times width) and >25% of habitats affected by sand, silt, or algae. 15 14 13 12 11	Does not have required number of stable pools (1-2 per 12 x width) and/or has shallow pools (<2 x prevailing depth). 10 9 8 7 6	Stable pools are absent. Most habitats affected by sand, silt, or algae accumulation. 5 4 (3) 2 1
Secondary Habitat Components **Artificial Channelization** 8	Expected sinuosity given the stream width. No evidence of dredging or artificial straightening. No spoil banks. 20 19 18 17 16	Good sinuosity within old channelized area. Evidence of dredging or straightening in the past (>25 yrs) but mostly recovered. 15 14 13 12 11	Straightened with trapezoidal cross section, but has a small degree of sinuosity developed within channelized area. 10 9 (8) 7 6	Straightened or engineered by dredging, has trapezoidal or box cut cross section, lacks required pools. May have spoil banks. 5 4 3 2 1
Bank Stability Right Bank 4 Left Bank ~~7~~ 6	Bankfull > 60% of bank height. Slope of bank ≤ 60° from bankful to top of bank. Bankfull is within or above the woody root zone with few raw, eroded areas. 10 9	Only meets 2 of the 3 requirements for optimal bank stability. 8 7 6	Only meets 1 of the 3 requirements for optimal bank stability. 5 4	Bankfull < 60% of bank heigl Slope of bank > 60°. Bankfull is below the woody root zone with raw, eroded areas. 3 2 1
Riparian Buffer Zone Width Right Bank 10 Left Bank 8	Width of vegetation greater than 18 m (10) 9	Width of vegetation >12 to 18m 8 7 6	Width of vegetation 6 to 12 m. human activities close to system 5 4	Less than 6 m of buffer zone due to intensive human activities 3 2 1
Riparian Zone Vegetation Quality Right Bank 7 Left Bank 6 Secondary Score ~~56~~ 49	Over 80% of riparian surfaces consist of normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions (e.g., native plants; tree, shrub, and forbs represented, if appropriate). Minimal disturbance. 10 9	>50% to 80% of riparian zone is undisturbed (normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions). Some disruption in community observed. 8 7 6	25% to 50% of riparian zone is undisturbed (normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions). Disruption obvious. 5 4	Less than 25% of riparian zone is undisturbed (normal, expected plant community fo given sunlight & habitat conditions). 3 2 1

~~74~~ 73 **TOTAL SCORE**

Date: 12/09/2021	Analyst: J. Skaggs	Signature:

DEP Form FD 9000-4 Stream/River Habitat Assessment Sketch Sheet



Length of grid represents 100 m of stream (not linear meters).
(Horizontal scale is double vertical scale, draw proportionately).

20m width

62-160.800, F.A.C

G25E0064

Location: Ten Mile

Date: 12/09/2021

Sampler: Skaggs/Liddick

100 m: Latitude	
Longitude	
0 m: Latitude	
Longitude	

Substrates: Code key, draw proportionate habitat abundance.		%
S	Snags	0
R	Roots/undercut banks	0
	Leaf Packs (or mats)	
	Aquatic Macrophytes	
	Pools — total # observed = 0; # range expected =	

Average width 20 m

Average depth 1 m

Velocity measured at 0 meter mark.

WQ & chemistry taken at 0 meter mark.

Habitat Smothering:
Note areas (on map) where sand or silt is smothering substrates, limiting habitability.

Bank Stability:
Note areas (on map) with unstable, eroding banks.

Riparian Buffer Width:
Note areas (on map) where natural vegetation is altered or eliminated.

Plants observed / other notes:

- both banks undercut
- heavy silt smothering throughout
- poor riparian buffers
- erosion present

Revision Date: January 2017

Ten-mile

DEP FORM FD 9000-25 Rapid Periphyton Survey Field Sheet

Site: G25E0064 | County: St. Lucie | Date: 12/09/2021 | Investigators: Skaggs Liddick

STORET Station Number:

Transect (m)	Point 1=right 9=left	Algal Thickness Rank (N-6, X)	Estimated	Canopy Cover
0	1	N		
	2		X	
	3			
	4			
	5			0
	6			
	7			
	8		X	
	9	N		
10	1	N		
	2		X	
	3			
	4			
	5			0
	6			
	7			
	8		X	
	9	N		
20	1	N		
	2		X	
	3			
	4			
	5			0
	6			
	7			
	8		Y	
	9	N		
30	1	N		
	2		Y	
	3			
	4			
	5			0
	6			
	7			
	8		Y	
	9	N		
40	1	N		
	2		Y	
	3			
	4			
	5			0
	6			
	7			
	8		Y	
	9	N		
50	1	N		
	2		Y	
	3			
	4			
	5			0
	6			
	7			
	8		Y	
	9	N		

Transect (m)	Point 1=right 9=left	Algal Thickness Rank (N-6, X)	Estimated	Canopy Cover
60	1	N		
	2		Y	
	3			
	4			
	5			0
	6			
	7			
	8		Y	
	9	N		
70	1	N		
	2		Y	
	3			
	4			
	5			0
	6			
	7			
	8		Y	
	9	N		
80	1	N		
	2		Y	
	3			
	4			
	5			0
	6			
	7			
	8		Y	
	9	N		
90	1	N		
	2		Y	
	3			
	4			
	5			0
	6			
	7			
	8		Y	
	9	N		
100	1	N		
	2		Y	
	3			
	4			
	5			0
	6			
	7			
	8		Y	
	9	N		

Secchi depth	
Estimated?	

# points ranked 4-6	0
total points assessed	99
% points ranked 4-6	0

Check accompanying data collected at same site/date	
Algal mat sample	
Linear Veg Survey	N/A
Habitat Assessment	✓
SCI/Biorecon	
Water sample	✓

RQ- 2021-12-06-58

Algal Thickness Rank	
N	rough, no algae, slimy, algae up to 1mm
3	>1mm - 6mm
4	>6mm - 20mm
5	>20mm - 10 cm
6	>10 cm

Record "N" and check "Estimated" for points deeper than the Secchi depth; algae are presumed absent. Check "Estimated" if thickness estimated for deep points which can be seen but not reached.

Record "X" for points shallower than Secchi depth for which substrate cannot be seen or reached with the hand. No estimated rank.

Record canopy cover as the number of small densiometer quadrants (out of 96) that HAVE canopy cover. Measure facing upstream at point 4, 5, or 6.

Collect algal mat sample following DEP SOP FS 7240 if the percentage of total sampled points with a thickness rank of 4, 5, or 6 is >20%.

DEP FORM FD 9000-32: LINEAR VEGETATION SURVEY FIELD SHEET

Waterbody:	Date:	County:	Storet Number:
Analyst:		Signature:	
Comments:			

Instructions: Note taxa growing in the water with a checkmark if present, "D" if dominant, and "C" if codominant (dominance only if $1m^2$ of taxa present). Note in the boxes below if no dominant are selected, and note total macrophyte abundance rank for each section. Only the most common taxa are listed, so use blank spaces for additional taxa names.

HERBACEOUS SPECIES	Meter Mark 0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40-50	50-60	60-70	70-80	80-90	90-100	Specimen collected (check)
Alternanthera philoxeroides											
Bacopa caroliniana											
Bacopa monneri											
Bidens mitis											
Boehmeria cylindrica											
Centella asiatica											
Ceratophyllum demersum											
Chara											
Cicuta maculata											
Cladium jamaicense											
Colocasia esculenta											
Commelina diffusa											
Commelina virginica											
Crinum americanum											
Diodia virginiana											
Eichhornia crassipes											
Eleocharis (wispy viviparous)											
Hydrilla verticillata											
Hydrocotle											
Hygrophila polysperma											
Hymenocallis											
Lachnanthes caroliniana											
Landoltia punctata											
Lemna											
Limnophila sessiflora											
Ludwigia leptocarpa											
Ludwigia palustris											
Ludwigia peruviana											
Ludwigia repens											
Luziola fluitans											
If no Dominant or Co-Dominant were selected, indicate with "X"											
Indicate % cover macrophytes per section											
0-5% Macrophyte Coverage											
>5 and ≤10% Macrophyte Coverage											
>10 and ≤25% Macrophyte Coverage											
>25 and ≤50% Macrophyte Coverage											
> 50% Macrophyte Coverage											

HERBACEOUS SPECIES	Meter Mark 0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40-50	50-60	60-70	70-80	80-90	90-100	Specimen collected (check)
Micranthemum glomeratum											
Micranthemum umbrosum											
Myriophyllum aquaticum											
Najas guadelupensis											
Nuphar luteum											
Orontium aquaticum											
Panicum hemitomon											
Panicum repens											
Panicum rigidulum											
Pistia stratioides											
Polygonum glabra											
Polygonum hydropiperoides											
Polygonum punctatum											
Pontedaria cordata											
Potamogeton illinoensis											
Ruellia simplex											
Sacciolepis striata											
Sagittaria kurziana											
Sagittaria lancifolia											
Sagittaria latifolia											
Salvinia minima											
Samolus parviflora											
Saururus cernuus											
Sparganium americanum											
Typha											
Vallisneria americana											
Zizania aquatica											

$< 2 m^2$ veg