

**FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION**

STRATEGIC MONITORING PLAN Field Sheet

July 2022

Meter
Passed End
of Day CCV☒ Yes / ☐ No

WIN Station Name:	Nonsense @ Tara Preserve				Sample Date:	12/21/2022	
WIN / Field ID:	G2SW0015	ORG ID:	21FLTPA	ENR:	-		
County:	Manatee	WBID:	1913	STREAM	1		
Receiving Body of Water:	Ward Lake / Braden River				RQ-	2022-08-22-11	

Sampling Team Members	WQ Measurements	Sample Collection	Documentation	Preservation	Preservation Check
Ben Paswater		x			x
Devin Mathias		x		x	
Ken Turman	x		x		

Sampling Equipment			
☒	Sample Container		Carboy
	Dipper		Van Dorn
	Syringe		Pole
	Syringe Lot#	1145497	Filter Lot#
			17232873
	Secchi Equipment ID:	Secchi #3	
Collection Method			
☒	Wading		Canoe/Kayak
	From Shore		Electric Motor
			Gasoline Motor
	Depth Measured With	Secchi	

Water Level:	Normal
Flow:	Flowing
Matrix:	Fresh
Tide Stage:	
High Tide:	
Low Tide:	
Photos:	Yes
Meter ID:	Leia
Depth Gauge ID:	depth_gauge

Time Zone	Time	Total Depth (m)	Sample Depth (m)	DO (mg/L)	DO (%SAT)	Temp. (°C)	pH	Secchi Disk (m)	Sp COND (µmhos/cm)	Salinity (PPTth)
EST	1200	0.5	0.25	6.81	71.4	17.6	7.26	0.5	462.6	0.22
								VOB (s)		

Biological Samples Collected:

SCI

SBIO Visit ID: 85917 HA ID: 18073 RPS ID: 10020 Macrophyte ID: N/A LIMS Sample ID Entered 2377269
Into The Macrophyte Module:

Parameter Suite	Parameter Methods (LIMS Test Codes)			Preservation			
	Type	Lot#	Expiration Date	pH Check			
Microbiology	E. coli			ICE			
	Contract Lab	Benchmark					
Chlorophyll	CHLSUITE-W			ICE			
Physical/Aggregate	ALKALINITY, TURBIDITY, W-F, W-TSS			ICE			
Nutrients	W-CL-IC, W-COLOR, W-SO4-IC, W-TDS			ICE			
Filtered Nutrients	W-PO4-F	Field Filtered 0.45 µm Filter		ICE	Syringe Lot#:1145497; Filter Lot#:17232873		
Acid Preserved Nutrients	W-NH3 / W-NO2NO3 / W-TKN / W-TOC / W-S-A-TP			ICE	H2SO4*	SA2299040	11/04/23
Metals	W-ICPMS	W-ICP	W-HARD	ICE	HNO3**	NA1105100	06/07/2023
Organics (LC)	W-E8321-DI	W-E8321-MS					
Macroinvertebrates	MI-FW-QLDC			Formalin	9.28091819T R		
Organics (GC)	W-PSNP-TQ	W-TR-SQ-R		Ice	*2.0 ml of H2SO4 Used Unless Noted Otherwise **2.0 ml of HNO3 Used Unless Noted Otherwise		
Molecular							
Periphyton							
Phytoplankton							
BOD							
DOC							
Other(s)					SyringeLot#	FilterLot#	
Field Comments:	/ SCI Collection Time: 1215						
Comments on COC:	Microbiology sample(s) submitted to contract laboratory.						
Relinquish Date:	12/21/2022	Signature:					

LIMS EVENT ID: SW-DIST-2022-12-22-01

WIN Import ID: 38724

Enter Field Parameters, Verify Station ID In LIMS DMT: DM 12/29/2022

QC Station ID, Field Parameters In LIMS DMT: MM 1/5/2023

Save Field Sheets on Network: MM 3/27/2023 (Initials/Date)

Migrate Data to WIN: MM 3/27/2023 (Initials/Date)

(Initials/Date)

(Initials/Date)



Site Name: Nonsense @ Tara Preserve

STORET STATION
NUMBER:DATE
(MM/DD/YYYY):

SAMPLING AGENCY:

FDEP SWROC

FIELD ID/NAME:

COUNTY:

LOCATION:

RECEIVING BODY OF WATER:

Manatee

↑

Ward Lake/Bradley River

Habitat Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Primary Habitat Components	Four or more major productive habitats present [snags, tree roots, aquatic vegetation, leaf packs (partially decayed), rock]	Three major productive habitats present. Adequate habitat. Some substrates may be new fall (fresh leaves or snags)	Two major productive habitats present. Less than desirable habitat, frequently disturbed or removed	One or less major productive habitat. Lack of habitat is obvious, substrates unstable or smothered
Substrate Diversity <u>11</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 <u>11</u>	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
Substrate Availability <u>7</u>	Greater than 30% major productive habitat present at site 20 19 18 17 16	16% to 30% major productive habitat, by aerial extent 15 14 13 12 11	6% to 15% major productive habitat 10 9 8 <u>7</u> 6	Less than 5% major productive habitat 5 4 3 2 1
Water Velocity <u>13</u>	Max. observed at typical transect: > 0.25 m/sec. But < 1 m/sec ≥0.33 0.31 0.29 0.27 >0.25 20 19 18 17 16	Max. observed at typical transect: >0.1 to 0.25 m/sec 0.25 0.21 0.17 0.13 >0.1 15 14 <u>13</u> 12 11	Max. observed at typical transect: 0.05 to 0.1 m/sec 0.1 0.09 0.07 0.06 0.05 10 9 8 7 6	Max. observed at typical transect: <0.05 m/sec; or spate occurring: > 1 m/sec <0.05 0.04 0.03 0.01 <0.01 5 4 3 2 1
Habitat Smothering <u>14</u> ----- Primary Score <u>45</u>	Adequate number of stable pools (1-2 per 12 times width) and <25% of habitats affected by sand, silt, or algae. 20 19 18 17 16	Adequate number of stable pools (1-2 per 12 times width) and >25% of habitats affected by sand, silt, or algae. 15 <u>14</u> 13 12 11	Does not have required number of stable pools (1-2 per 12 x width) and/or has shallow pools (<2 x prevailing depth). 10 9 8 7 6	Stable pools are absent. Most habitats affected by sand, silt, or algae accumulation. 5 4 3 2 1
Secondary Habitat Components	Expected sinuosity given the stream width. No evidence of dredging or artificial straightening. No spoil banks.	Good sinuosity within old channelized area. Evidence of dredging in the past (>25 yrs) but mostly recovered.	Straightened with trapezoidal cross section, but has a small degree of sinuosity developed within channelized area.	Straightened or engineered by dredging, has trapezoidal or box cut cross section, lacks required pools. May have spoil banks.
Artificial Channelization <u>18</u>	20 19 <u>18</u> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
Bank Stability	Bankfull > 60% of bank height. Slope of bank ≤ 60° from bankfull to top of bank. Bankfull is within or above the root zone with few raw, eroded areas.	Only meets 2 of the 3 requirements for optimal bank stability.	Only meets 1 of the 3 requirements for optimal bank stability.	Bankfull < 60% of bank height. Slope of bank > 60°. Bankfull is below the root zone with raw, eroded areas.
Right Bank <u>9</u> Left Bank <u>9</u>	10 <u>9</u> LR	8 7 6	5 4	3 2 1
Riparian Buffer Zone Width	Width of vegetation greater than 18 m	Width of vegetation >12 to 18m	Width of vegetation 6 to 12 m. human activities close to system	Less than 6 m of buffer zone due to intensive human activities
Right Bank <u>9</u> Left Bank <u>7</u>	10 <u>9</u> R	8 <u>7</u> L 6	5 4	3 2 1
Riparian Zone Vegetation Quality	Over 80% of riparian surfaces consist of normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions (e.g., native plants; tree, shrub, and forbs represented, if appropriate). Minimal disturbance.	>50% to 80% of riparian zone is undisturbed (normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions). Some disruption in community observed.	25% to 50% of riparian zone is undisturbed (normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions). Disruption obvious.	Less than 25% of riparian zone is undisturbed (normal, expected plant community for given sunlight & habitat conditions).
Right Bank <u>8</u> Left Bank <u>6</u> ----- Secondary Score <u>46</u>	10 9	R L <u>8</u> 7 <u>6</u>	5 4	3 2 1

TOTAL SCORE

111Date: 12/21/2022Analyst: Ben Paswater, Devin MathiasSignature: Ben Paswater

Field ID/WIN

G2SW0015

DEP Form FD 9000-3 Physical/Chemical Characterization Field Sheet



21FLTPA

LATITUDE

LONGITUDE

Site Name: Nonsense @ Tara Preserve

SAMPLING AGENCY FDEP SW ROC

RECEIVING BODY OF WATER

Ward Lake / Brade. River

RIPARIAN ZONE / STREAM FEATURES

PREDOMINANT LAND-USE IN WATERSHED (specify relative percent in each category):								Landscape Development Intensity Index (LDI)	
Forest/Natural	Silviculture	Field/Pasture	Agricultural	Residential	Commercial	Industry	Other (Specify)		
20		20		60					
Local Watershed Erosion (select one): ☐ None ☐ Slight ☒ Moderate ☐ Heavy								Typical Width (m) Depth (m)/Velocity (m/sec) Transect	
Local Watershed NPS Pollution: ☐ No evidence ☒ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy								3 m wide	
Width of Riparian Vegetation (m) on Each Buffer Side				Hydrologic Modification Score (per FT 3101)					
Left Bank: 15		Right Bank: 718		4					
High Water Mark: 1.0 + .5 = 1.5				Artificially Impounded					
(m) (above present water level) (present depth) (above bed)				☒ Yes ☐ No					
Artificially ☒ No ☐ Mostly recovered, more sinuous				Canopy Cover %					
Channelized: ☐ Some recovery ☐ Recent, severe				☒ Open ☐ Lightly Shaded (11-45%)					
				☒ Heavily Shaded ☐ Moderate Shaded (46-80%)					

SEDIMENT / SUBSTRATE

Sediment Oils			Sediment Odors			Smothering of Substrates				
☒ Absent ☐ Slight			☒ Normal ☐ Sewage ☐ Petroleum			Sand Smothering: None ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☒ Severe ☐				
☐ Moderate ☐ Profuse			☐ Chemical ☐ Anaerobic			Silt Smothering: None ☐ Slight ☒ Moderate ☐ Severe ☐				
			☐ Other (Specify):			Algae Smothering: None ☐ Slight ☒ Moderate ☐ Severe ☐				
SUBSTRATE TYPE			WATER QUALITY							
Assessment Tool: ☒ SCI ☒ RPS ☒ LVS			Depth (M)	Temp. (°C)	pH (SU)	D.O. (MG/L)	D.O. Sat (%)	Cond. (UMHO/CM)	Salinity (PPT)	SECCHI (M)
☐ LCI ☐ BioRecon			Top: 0.25	17.6	7.24	6.81	71.4	462.6	0.22	0.5
			Mid:							☒ VOB
			Bottom:							
			Meter ID: Lein						TOTAL DEPTH: 0.5	
Woody Debris (Snags) 2.3 5			Water Odors Normal ☒ Petroleum ☐			Water Surface Oils Normal ☒ Sheen ☐				
Undercut Banks / Roots 4.5 5			Sewage ☐ Chemical ☐ Other ☐			Globs ☐ Slick ☐ Other ☐				
Leaf Packs or Mat 1.0 5			Water Sample Taken? ☒ Yes ☐ No			Color Tannic ☐ Green (Algae) ☐				
Aquatic Vegetation 1			Sample Preserved? ☒ Yes ☐ No			Clear ☒ Other (Specify) ☐				
Rock or Shell Rubble.. 1			Lot Number: see Water Datasheet			Clarity Clear ☒ Slightly Turbid ☐				
Sand..... 3			Algae Sample Taken? ☐ Yes ☒ No			Turbid ☐ Opaque ☐				
Mud / Muck / Silt 3			Sample Preserved? ☐ Yes ☐ No							
Other 3			Lot Number: Exp:							
Other 3			System Type: Stream ☒ Lake ☐ Wetland ☐ Estuary ☐ Other(Specify) ☐							
ABUNDANCE			AMBIENT FIELD CONDITIONS / NOTES:							
Not Obs. Rare Common Abundant			Not Many Bugs for nice habitat							
Periphyton: ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐			☒ The antecedent hydrologic conditions have been met to my best knowledge.							
Fish: ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒										
Aquatic Plants: ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐										
Iron/Sulfur Bacteria: ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐										
SAMPLING TEAM			SIGNATURE :			DATE :				
Ben Paswater, Devin Mathias			Ben Paswater			12/21/2022				
Ken Turman										



DEP FORM FD 9000-32: LINEAR VEGETATION SURVEY FIELD

Site Name: Nonsense @ Tara Preserve

County: 12/21/2022

Waterbody: See Sticker

Date: Minutes

Signature: Ben P...

Analyst: Ben P...


Instructions: Note taxa growing in the water with a checkmark if present, "D" if dominant, and "C" if codominant (dominance only if 1 m² of taxa present). Note in the boxes below if no dominant are selected, and note total macrophyte abundance rank for each section. Only the most common taxa are listed, so use blank spaces for additional taxa names. **BOLD is exotic invasive**

HERBACEOUS SPECIES	Meter Mark										Specimen collected (check)	HERBACEOUS SPECIES	Meter Mark										Specimen collected (check)
	0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40-50	50-60	60-70	70-80	80-90	90-100			0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40-50	50-60	60-70	70-80	80-90	90-100	
Alternanthera philoxeroides												Micranthemum glomeratum											
Bacopa caroliniana (Lemon)												Micranthemum umbrosum											
Bacopa monnieri												Myriophyllum aquaticum											
Bidens mitis												Najas guadelupensis											
Boehmeria cylindrica												Nitella sp.											
Centella asiatica												Nuphar luteum			✓								
Ceratophyllum demersum												Orontium aquaticum											
Chara sp.												Panicum hemitomon							✓				
Cicuta maculata												Panicum repens											
Cladium jamaicense												Panicum rigidulum											
Colocasia esculenta												Pistia stratioides											
Commelina diffusa												Polygonum glabra											
Commelina virginica												Polygonum hydropiperoides											
Crinum americanum					✓							Polygonum punctatum											
Cyperus												Pontedaria cordata											
Diodia virginiana												Potamogeton illinoensis											
Eichhornia crassipes												Ruellia simplex (Mex Petunia)							✓				
Eleocharis (wispy viviparous)												Sacciolepis striata											
Fontinalis sp. (Aquatic Moss)												Sagittaria kurziana											
Fraxinus caroliniana												Sagittaria lancifolia											
Hydrilla verticillata												Sagittaria latifolia											
Hydrocotyle sp.			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		Salvinia minima											
Hygrophila polysperma												Samolus parviflora											
Hymenachne amplexicaulis												Saururus cernuus											
Hymenocallis												Sparganium americanum											
Lachnanthes caroliniana												Schoenoplectus americanus											
Landoltia punctata												Sphagneticola trilobata (Wedelia)											
Lemna sp.												Typha sp.											
Limnophila sessiflora												Urochloa mutica (Brachiaria)											
Ludwigia leptocarpa												Vallisneria americana											
Ludwigia palustris												Zizania aquatica											
Ludwigia peruviana																							
Ludwigia repens																							
Luziola fluitans																							
If no Dominant or Co-Dominant were selected, indicate with "X"																							
Indicate % cover macrophytes per section																							
0-5% Macrophyte Coverage																							
>5 and ≤10% Macrophyte Coverage																							
>10 and ≤25% Macrophyte Coverage																							
>25 and ≤50% Macrophyte Coverage																							
> 50% Macrophyte Coverage																							



Site Name: Nonsense @ Tara Preserve

DEP Form FD 9000-4 Stream/River Habitat Assessment Sketch Sheet



Length of grid represents 100 m of stream (not linear meters).
(Horizontal scale is double vertical scale, draw proportionately).

Location:

Date: 12/21/2022

Sampler: Ben Paswater

100 m: Latitude 27.43284

Longitude -82.46866

0 m: Latitude 27.43249

Longitude -82.46857

Substrates: Code key, draw proportionate habitat abundance.



Snags

2.3



Roots/undercut banks

4.5



Leaf Packs (or mats)

1.0



Aquatic Macrophytes

few



ROCKS



Pools

total # observed = 7

range expected = 3-6

Average width 3 m

Average depth .5 m

Velocity measured at 0.50, 1.00 meter mark.

WQ & chemistry taken at 90 meter mark.

Habitat Smothering:

Note areas (on map) where sand or silt is smothering substrates, limiting habitability.

Bank Stability:

Note areas (on map) with unstable, eroding banks.

Riparian Buffer Width:

Note areas (on map) where natural vegetation is altered or eliminated.

Plants observed / other notes:

$$S = 57/2500 = .023$$

$$R = 112/2500 = .045$$

$$L = 24/2500 = .01$$



Site Name: Nonsense @ Tara Preserve

Rapid Periphyton Survey Field Sheet

Date:

11/1/2022

Investigators:

BP KT DM

STORET Station Number:

Transect (m)	Point 1=right 9=left	Algal Thickness Rank (N-6, X)	Estimated	Canopy Cover	Transect (m)	Point 1=right 9=left	Algal Thickness Rank (N-6, X)	Estimated	Canopy Cover
0	1	N		88	60	1	N		96
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
10	1			96	70	1			92
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
20	1			80	80	1			88
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
30	1			92	90	1			92
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
40	1			96	100	1			
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
50	1			88		1			
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								

Secchi depth

Estimated?

1.0

2

points ranked 4-6

total points assessed

% points ranked 4-6

0

96

0

Check accompanying data collected at same site/date.

Algal mat sample

Linear Veg Survey

Habitat Assessment

SCI/Biorecon

Water sample

✓

✓

✓

RQ

2022-08-22-11

(1200)

Algal Thickness Rank

N	rough, no algae, slimy, algae up to 1mm
3	>1mm - 6mm
4	>6mm - 20mm
5	>20mm - 10 cm
6	>10 cm

Record "N" and check "Estimated" for points deeper than the Secchi depth; algae are presumed absent. Check "Estimated" if thickness estimated for deep points which can be seen but not reached.

Record "X" for points shallower than Secchi depth for which substrate cannot be seen or reached with the hand. No estimated rank.

Record canopy cover as the number of small densiometer quadrants (out of 96) that HAVE canopy cover. Measure facing upstream at point 4, 5, or 6.

Collect algal mat sample following DEP SOP FS 7240 if the percentage of total sampled points with a thickness rank of 4, 5, or 6 is >20%.