

FWRMC

Catalog of Monitoring Programs

8/9/2012



Kate Muldoon
GOMA / Watershed Monitoring
Florida Dept. of Environmental Protection

Monitoring Catalog Workgroup Agenda

- Catalog Proposal Selection:
 - Background (brief)
 - Proposals and June meeting points reiterate
 - Questions to proposers (will be available by telephone)
 - Funding
 - Decision for recommendation?
- Selection of metadata for Catalog
 - GOMA metadata elements
- Development of priorities & timeline for how to proceed

Monitoring Catalog Workgroup

- May 2012: sent out “Statement of Needs” for FWRMC Catalog of Monitoring Programs to address the following:
 - Design/infrastructure
 - Portability/server locations
 - Cost
 - Schedule
 - On-site assistance
- May 2012: respondents submitted pre-proposals

Summary of Responses to Monitoring Catalog workgroup “Statement of Needs”

Response	UM- CCS	USF
Cost	\$60,339 year 1 devel /main (includes server cost) \$23,399 year 2 maintenance \$24,773 year 3 maintenance	\$47,547 for Design and Development and first year of implementation/maintenance \$25,000 for annual maintenance
Timeline	1 year development	6 mos. Beta; 6 mos. Evaluation & finalization
Portability/Server Locations	Server would be at UM; system could probably be designed to be portable	Server would be at USF
funding for database administration / QA staff is included	Yes, during initial loading of data into the Catalog	Yes; in addition, a tool will be developed to allow the data provider to run site matches for new sites and to assist in site matching where needed.
Additional Information	Server cost \$4,000 + \$220/yr. hosting fees (included in above costs)	
Comments	Costed out as separate system from GOMA catalog; costs may be less depending upon alignment of FWRMC MC features with those of GOMA MC	Maintenance . . costs are based on assumed saving from the use of previously developed Water Atlas applications and the fact that the Water Atlas has mapped about 45% of the state of Florida water resources and data sites.

June FWRMC Meeting

Discussion & Issues

- Funding
- Links to monitoring data
- Data entry uploads –batch, online forms, shapefiles?
- Data quality objectives to preclude misunderstandings
- Database structure- dropdown menu vs free text

June meeting request:

- FWRMC prepare comments and questions
- Stephanie sent GOMA metadata fields

Monitoring Catalog Review Next Steps

- MC workgroup & FWRMC addressed any additional questions or issues that arose - *June 2012 Comments*
- MC workgroup teleconferences to develop recommendation for FWRMC - *August 2012*
- FWRMC accepts recommendation or requests additional fact-finding – *October 2012*

Monitoring Catalog Review Next Steps

Options *today or November 2012*:

- MC workgroup discusses & agrees upon pertinent metadata for Catalog
- MC workgroup develops priorities & timeline for how to proceed