

FWRMC

Catalog of Monitoring Programs

Issue Resolution



10/3/2012

Kate Muldoon
GOMA / Watershed Monitoring
Florida Dept. of Environmental Protection

Monitoring Catalog Workgroup Update

- Review of selection process?
- Comparison of software features sent out 9/24/12
- Proposal selection
(UM GOMA Catalog of Monitoring Programs vs. USF Water Atlas)
 - Design
 - Infrastructure
 - Experience with web interface, web-enabled db, and GIS
 - Continuity
 - Dedicated Staff

Summary of Responses to Monitoring Catalog workgroup “Statement of Needs”

Response	UM- CCS	USF
Cost	\$60,339 year 1 devel /main (includes server cost) \$23,399 year 2 maintenance \$24,773 year 3 maintenance	\$47,547 for Design and Development and first year of implementation/maintenance \$25,000 for annual maintenance
Timeline	1 year development	6 mos. Beta; 6 mos. Evaluation & finalization
Portability/Server Locations	Server would be at UM; system could probably be designed to be portable	Server would be at USF
funding for database administration / QA staff is included	Yes, during initial loading of data into the Catalog	Yes; in addition, a tool will be developed to allow the data provider to run site matches for new sites and to assist in site matching where needed.
Additional Information	Server cost \$4,000 + \$220/yr. hosting fees (included in above costs)	
Comments	Costed out as separate system from GOMA catalog; costs may be less depending upon alignment of FWRMC MC features with those of GOMA MC	Maintenance . . . costs are based on assumed saving from the use of previously developed Water Atlas applications and the fact that the Water Atlas has mapped about 45% of the state of Florida water resources and data sites.

Monitoring Catalog Workgroup Update

- Clarifications
 - If USF, whether Catalog would be part of larger Atlas?
 - Would associating sites with waterbodies prolong the development phase?
- Database structure – dropdown or free text?
- Selection of metadata for Catalog
 - Level of detail needed
- Development of priorities & timeline for how to proceed

Monitoring Catalog Review Next Steps

- MC workgroup & FWRMC addressed any additional questions or issues that arose - *June 2012 Comments*
- MC workgroup teleconferences to develop recommendation for FWRMC - *August 2012*
- FWRMC accepts recommendation, or requests additional fact-finding – *October 2012*