From: Gilmour, Cindy
To: Axelrad, Don
Cc: Riedel, Gerhardt
Subject: RE: revised report SP676
Date: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 1:11:37 PM

It's your basic ACCESS relational data base.

As to specific tables and fields, and how they are related, that is what we are working to finalize now the we have the much of the data in hand.
Our current design puts all of the main data from all investigators into one data table. There are separate linked tables that contain site information, sampling date info, basic info for each participating institution, and a table with the measurement data information (analysis type, units, etc). At this point we are doing final data clean up and QA as it goes into the main table, and testing query approaches as the main data table grows. I spend a lot of time on the phone w/ my colleagues!

Right now the main data table includes these fields:
Sample ID
Site name
Sampling date
Institution
Field Rep
Sampling Depths (in water or soil)
Sampling depth units
Matrix
Measurement_value (data type)
Measurement_name
Measurement data flags
Organism name
Sample size

There will probably be additional fields for flags, codes and notes.

We are following DBhydro conventions for metadata where it works (ie site names, basin names, some measurements names…) .. although ACME sites do not always correspond with SFMWD sites.

From: Axelrad, Don [mailto:Don.Axelrad@dep.state.fl.us]
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 12:52 PM
To: Gilmour, Cindy
Subject: FW: revised report SP676

Cindy,

FYI

Don

The Department of Environmental Protection values your feedback as a customer. DEP Secretary Michael W. Sole is committed to continuously assessing and improving the level and quality of services provided to you. Please take a few minutes to comment on the quality of service you received. Simply click on this link to the DEP Customer Survey. Thank you in advance for completing the survey.

From: Miller, Denise
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 4:05 AM
To: Axelrad, Don
Subject: RE: revised report SP676

Don - the revised report works for me, and you should proceed with submitting the invoice, etc. to F&A.

When you spoke with Cindy, what was the intention on draft database submission? I am curious as to organization of the database, the fields of data it contains, etc. We need to be able to review and comment from that perspective well before it is gets towards completion to make sure everyone is comfortable with the fields of information it contains.

Thanks,
Denise

==========