



Beach Management Funding Assistance Program
Local Government Funding Request
Fiscal Year 2025/2026
Beach Projects Application

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

Local Sponsor

Federal ID Number (FEID)

Primary Contact Name Title

Mailing Address Line 1

Mailing Address Line 2

City Zip Code Telephone Number

Email Address

Additional Contact Information

PART II: CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that all information provided with this application is true and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Signature of Local Sponsor

Electronic or scanned signature accepted

Printed Name

Date

Attest:

Roger D. Eaton, Clerk of the
Circuit Court and Ex-officio
Clerk of the Board of County
Commissioners

By: Deputy Clerk
GRT 2024-044

Approved as to Form
and Legal Sufficiency

Janette S. Knowlton, County Attorney
LR24-0649 JMK



Beach Management Funding Assistance Program
Local Government Funding Request
Fiscal Year 2025/2026
Beach Projects Application

PART III: EVALUATION CRITERIA

1. Project Name: (as listed in the Strategic Beach Management Plan)

Manasota Key Beach Restoration Project and Charlotte County Erosion Control Project

2. Project Description: Include county, location with reference to DEP range monuments, brief project history, and description of proposed activities.

The Projects include providing erosion control and shoreline stabilization measures including beach nourishment, maintenance dredge bypassing, and stabilizing structures for over eight miles of eroding gulf and inlet shorelines within the Manasota Barriers, Sarasota County and Charlotte County (Attachment A). The northern Project Boundary shall be located at Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Reference Monument (R-monument) R173.4 in Sarasota County, extending south along Manasota Key for 1.91 miles including the Blind Pass Beach Park, to the Charlotte/Sarasota County line at R183.7, less a 0.60 mile GAP between R177.5 and R180.7, for a total length in Sarasota County of 1.31 miles. The Project continues from R1 in Charlotte County, extending south along Manasota Key for 3.84 miles including the Englewood Beach Park and Stump Pass Beach State Park, to Stump Pass, less a 0.24 mile GAP between R13.2 and R14.4, for a total length in Charlotte County of 3.60 miles. The Project continues on Palm-Knight-Bocilla-Don Pedro Islands extending from approximately 1,200 feet northeast of R22 along Stump Pass' southern inlet shoreline and then along the gulf-front shoreline to the southern Project Boundary located approximately 500 feet south of R40, equal to 3.57 miles. The total length of shoreline within the Project boundary is approximately 44,787 feet exclusive of the GAPS. The total length of shoreline within the Project boundary that is designated critically eroding by FDEP is approximately 38,423 feet (R173.4 to R21.2, 500 feet of inlet shoreline, and R28 to R40.5).

The Projects are consistent with the State's Strategic Beach Management Plan and the Stump Pass Inlet Management Plan including these components:

- Renourish critically eroding beaches on Manasota Key;
- Maintain sand placed on Stump Pass Beach State Park to mitigate for inlet maintenance impacts;
- Place available sand from maintenance dredging on updrift and downdrift shorelines of Stump Pass;
- Maintain stabilizing structure at south end of Manasota Key;
- Address hot-spot maintenance or storm impacts using upland sand sources; and
- Maintain project through renourishment using sand from bypassing and offshore sources.

The following are the key features for FY25-26:

- Conduct third year annual monitoring of Stump Pass / Erosion Control Project.
- Conduct fifth year annual physical monitoring and third year artificial reef monitoring for Manasota Key (MK) project and fifth year annual physical monitoring for South Beach Fill (SBF) project.
- Construct Post-Ian/Nicole/Idalia Recovery Projects

Please see attached Location Map (Attachment A).



Beach Management Funding Assistance Program
Local Government Funding Request
Fiscal Year 2025/2026
Beach Projects Application

3. Use of Requested Program Funds: Provide a brief description of tasks to be completed in each requested phase (Feasibility, Design, Construction, Monitoring, or USACE Multiphase). Indicate which tasks are cost reimbursement from previous fiscal years.

UBF, NBF, and Stump Pass Third Annual Physical and Biological Monitoring; Post-Ian/Nicole/Idalia Recovery Project

MK and SBF Fifth Annual Monitoring; MK Artificial Reef Third Annual Monitoring; Post-Ian/Nicole/Idalia Recovery Project

The County has identified a new 20-ft wide platted public access point on Don Pedro Island, Access F, which replaces Access E. The new access is shown on Attachment B. This raises the eligible shoreline from 27,396 feet (prior program years) to 28,837 feet. This equates to 75.04% of eligible shoreline; and a new State cost share of 37.52%.

4. Map: Provide as an attachment. The map(s) of the project area must be formatted at a minimum of 1" = 200' scale and include a compass rose and legend.

Map elements must include:

1. **Project boundary:** DEP reference monuments and shoreline designated as critically eroded
2. **Eligibility:** Indicate lengths of eligible shoreline based on criteria outlined in Chapter 62B-36.007, F.A.C.
 - a. **Beach access locations:** indicate primary or secondary access, and include the shoreline length, number and location of auto parking spaces, mass transit stops, bike parking spaces, and public restroom facilities within 1/4 mile walking distance of each access
 - b. **Public lodging establishments:** include the length fronting the project shoreline, and include the length of street-side frontage for lodging within 1/4 mile walking distance of a secondary beach access location
3. **Recreational benefits:** Comprehensive list of current land use designations of properties fronting the project shoreline
4. **Value of upland property:** Include one-quarter mile buffer and provide a comprehensive list of the values of properties (data from year 2023) that are enclosed or intersected by the buffer. The 2023 property value tax roll data and ArcGIS shapefiles can be obtained from the Department of Revenue website: https://floridarevenue.com/property/Pages/DataPortal_RequestAssessmentRollGISData.aspx. See Guidance Document for more information.

5. Project length: Total restored project length (in feet) of the critically eroded area (as listed in the Strategic Beach Management Plan).

38,423 ft exclusive of GAPS



- **Location/Access Name:** Use the official name of the park or road that is associated with the public access.
- **DEP Reference Monument:** Use the DEP monument that is closest to the main public access location.
- **Type of Access:** Indicate Primary or Secondary beach access in accordance with the definitions in Chapter 62B-36, F.A.C.
- **Width of Access/Frontage:** The length of the legal boundary (in feet) of the public access or lodging along the beach project shoreline. For public lodging establishments that do not front the beach, indicate the street-side length of the legal property boundary (in feet) that is used as the main access to the establishment.
- **Number of Eligible Units:** A unit is defined as one automobile parking space, one rental unit in a public lodging establishment, one mass transit stop, or four bicycle parking spots.
- **Additional Width from Eligible Units:** Total distance (feet) added to the Width of Access/Frontage. If there are multiple types of eligibility units used for this calculation, show the calculation separately for each.
- **Total Eligible Shoreline:** Sum of the Width of Access/Frontage and Additional Width from Eligible Units columns. Eligible shorelines cannot overlap.
- **Website Link for DBPR License:** Provide the link to the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) website for proof of licensure for any public lodging establishments used for eligibility or the Recreational Benefits ranking criteria.

[illegible]



Beach Management Funding Assistance Program
Local Government Funding Request
Fiscal Year 2025/2026
Beach Projects Application

7. Schedule and Budget: Provide as a separate attachment, if needed. Clearly indicate project phase: Feasibility (F), Design (D), Construction (C), Monitoring (M), or USACE Multiphase (USACE). Include the year of post-construction monitoring in the description.

• **Cost Reimbursement:** Specify eligible costs incurred during the three years prior to the current application's fiscal year that are not in a current DEP agreement and have not been reimbursed (this includes fiscal years 22/23, 23/24, and 24/25). Eligible costs will be added to the current funding request.

• **Current and Future Costs:** Specify eligible costs for the current application's fiscal year that are not in a current DEP agreement and have not been reimbursed as well as the estimated costs for the next 4 years. Note: If Construction costs are requested in the current fiscal year, then the Year 1 Post-Construction Monitoring costs will be added to the current funding request.

Fiscal Year	Project Phase	Description	Federal Cost	State Cost	Local Cost	Total Cost
22/23						
22/23						
22/23						
23/24						
23/24						
23/24						
24/25						
24/25						
24/25						
25/26	Monitoring	UBF, NBF, Stump Pass	\$0	\$64,159	\$106,841	\$171,000
25/26	Monitoring	MK, SBF	\$0	\$195,479	\$325,521	\$521,000
25/26						
26/27	Design	UBF-NBF Nourishment, Stump Pass MD	\$0	\$18,010	\$29,990	\$48,000
26/27	Construction	UBF-NBF Nourishment, Stump Pass MD	\$0	\$1,551,452	\$2,583,548	\$4,135,000
26/27	Monitoring	All	\$0	\$196,230	\$326,770	\$523,000



Beach Management Funding Assistance Program
Local Government Funding Request
Fiscal Year 2025/2026
Beach Projects Application

Fiscal Year	Project Phase	Description	Federal Cost	State Cost	Local Cost	Total Cost
27/28	Design	MK, SBF Nourishment	\$0	\$48,776	\$81,224	\$130,000
27/28	Monitoring	UBF, NBF, Stump Pass	\$0	\$68,662	\$114,338	\$183,000
27/28	Monitoring	MK, SBF	\$0	\$158,710	\$264,290	\$423,000
28/29	Construction	MK, SBF Nourishment	\$0	\$12,275,794	\$20,442,206	\$32,718,000
28/29	Monitoring	UBF, NBF, Stump Pass	\$0	\$70,913	\$118,087	\$189,000
28/29		MK, SBF	\$0	\$138,449	\$230,551	\$369,000
29/30	Monitoring	UBF, NBF, Stump Pass	\$0	\$73,164	\$121,836	\$195,000
29/30	Monitoring	MK, SBF	\$0	\$177,094	\$294,906	\$472,000
29/30						

8. Tourism-related impacts: Criteria is calculated by the Department using 2023 data. For reference, tax data can be obtained from the Department of Revenue website: <https://floridarevenue.com/DataPortal/Pages/TaxResearch.aspx> See Guidance Document for more information.

- ☐ Current funding request includes construction and year one post-construction monitoring
- ☐ Project is managed by two or more counties

Additional comments

9. Federal involvement: The documentation to verify authorization, federal cost share percentage, and status of funding award must be provided with the application materials for the award of points.

Is the project Federally authorized by the USACE?

Authorization Year:

Expiration Year:

Does this project have a signed USACE Chief's report or Civil Works Congressional authorization for the requested phase?

USACE federal cost share percentage for this project (excluding FCCE):



Beach Management Funding Assistance Program
Local Government Funding Request
Fiscal Year 2025/2026
Beach Projects Application

Select all that apply for the requested project phase(s) and include documentation with application materials.

- ☐ Executed USACE project agreement
- ☐ Included in USACE work plan
- ☐ Approved FEMA Project Worksheet
- ☐ Included in Congressional Appropriations Act
- ☐ Other documentation:

10. Storm damage reduction benefits: Criteria is calculated by the Department.

What is the volume (cy) of advanced nourishment lost since the last sand placement event of a beach restoration or nourishment project as measured above the Mean High Water contour? Data should be consistent with the annual post-construction monitoring reports.

For restoration projects, what is the historical erosion rate?

11. Cost effectiveness: Criteria is calculated by the Department. A project design analysis and supporting documentation must be provided with the application materials for the award of points. See Guidance Document for more information.

What is the proposed sand placement volume (cy)?

What is the nourishment interval (years)?

Select all that apply for the current project funding request:

- ☐ Enhanced longevity: Propose structural or design components that could extend the beach nourishment interval
- ☐ Dune addition: Incorporate new or enhanced dune structures or new or existing dune restoration and revegetation projects
- ☐ Innovative technology: Propose innovative technologies designed to reduce project costs
- ☐ Regionalization: Two or more local sponsors manage projects together or projects propose regional sediment management strategies to conserve sand resources or reduce project costs

Additional comments
for storm damage
reduction benefits and
cost effectiveness



Beach Management Funding Assistance Program
Local Government Funding Request
Fiscal Year 2025/2026
Beach Projects Application

12. Previous state commitment

Has the Department previously reviewed, approved, and cost-shared on a feasibility or design phase for this project?

Has the current proposed project phase(s) received a partial state appropriation within 3 years of phase completion?

Additional comments

13. Accessible beach area: Criteria is calculated by the Department. Provide additional information for consideration.

Describe the landward and seaward edge of the accessible beach width

Provide the project boundaries (R-monuments)

Provide citations of reference sources

14. Recreational benefits: Provide the percentage of linear footage within the project boundaries which is zoned recreational or open space; for commercial use; or to allow for public lodging establishment, or the equivalent. Provide additional documentation and DBPR website link in a separate attachment, if needed.

15. Mitigation of inlet effects: Criteria is calculated by the Department.

Additional comments

16. Successive unfunded requests

Is the current proposed project phase(s) a successive, unfunded request? If so, how many years of successive request(s) is the current application?

Does the successive request add the construction phase?



Beach Management Funding Assistance Program
Local Government Funding Request
Fiscal Year 2025/2026
Beach Projects Application

17. Environmental habitat enhancement: Criteria is calculated by the Department. See Guidance Document for more information.

Is the project within a designated critical habitat area for threatened or endangered species that is subject to extensive shoreline armoring?

Is the project within a non-designated area where extensive shoreline armoring threatens the habitat of threatened or endangered species?

If yes to either of the above, does the project exceed best management practices to incorporate turtle-friendly designs and management strategies to protect resources or benefit critical habitat preservation?

Provide an explanation and supporting documentation for the strategies which exceed best management practices

18. Readiness to construct

Does the project have an active state permit?

Does the project have an active federal permit?

Permit number

Permit number

Authorization date

Authorization date

Expiration date

Expiration date

Have all necessary easements been acquired for the upcoming or proposed construction phase?

Has the necessary local funding been secured for the proposed project? If so, provide a copy of the draft Resolution. The signed Resolution is due by September 23, 2024.

Is an Erosion Control Line established along the project length?

Additional comments for readiness to construct



Beach Management Funding Assistance Program
Local Government Funding Request
Fiscal Year 2025/2026
Beach Projects Application

Provide additional information and comments below or attach supporting documents.